


# THE AUSTRALIAN FLUORIDATION NEWS



ARTIFICIAL FLUORIDATION  
IS WATER POLLUTION  
[www.fluoridationnews.com](http://www.fluoridationnews.com)  
[afavaust@gmail.com](mailto:afavaust@gmail.com)  
G.P.O. Box 935,  
Melbourne, Vic., 3001  
PLEASE PASS ON WHEN READ

Vol. 27  
No. 2

Price \$2.00  
\$15 per annum posted Australia

March-April  
1991

Registered by Australia Post —  
Publication No. NBG0721

## THE AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL TERRITORY (CANBERRA) GOVERNMENT'S INQUIRY RECOMMENDED REDUCING THE FLUORIDE CONTENT IN THEIR DRINKING WATER SUPPLIES BY 50% FROM 1 PPM F, DOWN TO 0.5 PPM

The A.C.T. Legislative Assembly Standing Committee on Social Policy is — Chairman, Mr Bill Wood Labor Party, Mrs R. Nolan Liberal, Ms C. Maher, Dr H Kinloch, the latter three from the A.C.T. Alliance Government, D. Stevenson, Independent.

On February 12, 1991 the A.C.T. Legislative Assembly received their Fluoridation Committee's recommendation that the fluoride content in the artificially fluoridated drinking water supplies of Canberra be reduced by 50 percent.

On 21 February 1991 in the ACT Legislative Assembly the ACT Alliance Government successfully moved to delay debating their Committee's Fluoridation Report until 31 August, 1991.

Although the Government Committee admitted an over dosing of fluoride throughout the Canberra community, the Government, obviously without regard for those affected and their own Government Committee Report, voted to postpone any further action during the next six months.

What a presentation to the Fluoride Lobby and their propaganda machine for a six months attack on the Fluoridation Committee and their Report.

**This is an Australian landmark decision on fluoridation for a Government REPORT to admit, after public examination, that there is too much fluoride added to a drinking water supply in Australia.**

After 16 months of inquiry, the examination of 160 written submissions and interviewing many people, the Committee found evidence of human health hazards and scientific data showing the ineffectiveness of fluoridation. This evidence outweighed the submissions, oral and printed, from those representing the A.M.A., A.D.A., National Health and Medical Research Council (N.H. & M.R.C.) and U.S. promoters of fluoridation.

The Committee faced the political and bureaucratic pro-fluoridation pressure so entrenched in the Liberal

---

*"There is no swindle, crime, dodge or trick which will survive when it is exposed to public view."*

Joseph Pulitzer

---

and Labor Parties,, and the fluoride protectionism fiercely sought by those whose past and future dangerously relies upon the old "easy to sell" fluoridation dogma hoax that previously won approval of naive and undemocratic Parliaments around Australia.

Their 132 page Report "January 1991" makes a mockery of the Health Departments, and especially the great Australian fluoridation promoters, the N.H. and M.R.C. and the Commonwealth Government.

The difference between the A.C.T. Fluoridation Inquiry and the Commonwealth Government's N.H. and M.R.C. Fluoridation Inquiries is that the latter never call for submissions or interview those wishing to discuss the pros and cons of fluoridation. The N.H. and M.R.C. works behind closed doors with obviously closed minds.

---

*... the Committee found evidence of human health hazards and scientific data showing the ineffectiveness of fluoridation.*

---

This Fluoridation Inquiry of Canberra's water supply highlighted the fluoridation pervasiveness of health organizations in Australia and State Governments.

Their many submissions from heads of these organizations were unacceptable. Now is the time for members of those groups to examine their public fluoridation standing, before "the mark of ignorance" and "fluoridation illiteracy" becomes the standard and permanent logo of their professions, including politicians and their parties.

The A.C.T. Fluoridation Inquiry received 160 submissions, 141 against fluoridation, and only 18 endorsing fluoridation, but those 18 (with the exception of "one individual") were from the usual fluoridation lobby such as the A.D.A., A.M.A., N.H. and M.R.C., Health Department and politicians.

### Proposal to abolish fluoridation completely

The Committee was divided on many issues including the proposal by Dennis Stevenson (Independent) to abolish fluoridation completely. This was rejected, but opened the avenue for Stevenson to present a Dissenting Report which is also printed in the official volume

of this Inquiry.

The Committee Report consists of 132 pages, and Stevenson's Dissenting Report 169 pages.

One needs only to objectively read Stevenson's Report to understand the scientific and promotional ramifications of fluoridation and his qualified reasons for wanting artificial fluoridation stopped in Canberra.

An in-depth article will be published in our next issue with particular reference to the statements of claim by the pro-fluoridation lobby and why they are not accepted.

Copies of the Report are available from:

The A.C.T. Legislative Assembly, 1 Constitution Avenue, Canberra ACT 2601.

---

## FLUORIDATION BLACKMAIL REVISITED

The Duke of Edinburgh has often stated: "Look after this planet it's the only one we have". How true, but remember "look after your body it's the only one you have", don't let others ruin it for you. It is your inalienable right to govern your body as you wish, and not to be pressurised into bowing to the foreign standards of our Government's promotion of mass medicating the population with a proven dangerous toxic chemical, fluoride.

The scientific chronology of fluorides and fluoridation ranging from the early 1930's, not only identifies but indicates in scientific studies that fluorides and fluoridation are exceptionally dangerous health hazards.

In some strange manner our representatives in Parliament, the Health Departments (sic) doctors, dentists, all go along quite oblivious to the scientific warnings against fluorides and fluoridation.

When this "fluoridation strangeness" is understood, the world will understand how and why populations are forced to drink fluoridated water against their wish.

---

### *... additional Dental Funding should only be for fluoridated areas.*

---

So far, questions relating to such strangeness go unanswered, indicating it has nothing to do with teeth, nothing to do with the discredited claims of improved bone structure, nothing to do with any of the other silly dental and medical claims, except it is an excellent agent to kill anything from insects, animals, especially rats, for deworming pigs and as the principal chemical in war gases, and anaesthetics (*Fluoridation News Sept-Oct 1990*).

Fluoridation examples from Government and semi-Government actions in Australia are like reading Grimms Fairy Tales, the intelligence factor is the Tooth Fairy Tale part, but the Grimm indicates the serious end result.

### Blackmail

The *Northern Star*, Lismore (12/11/90) reported the New South Wales Health Department standoff tactics attempting to defuse the Lismore people's long stand against fluoridation.

The Lismore Council accused the New South Wales Health Department of BLACKMAIL.

Part of the New South Wales Health Department letter to the Council on fluoridation follows:

*"In view of recent developments regarding the likely proposals that funding for new dental facilities will not be available in Council areas where fluoridation is not available.*

*It appears that plans to provide School and Hospital Dental Services at the proposed Goonellabah Health Centre will not be funded un-*

*less Council reconsiders its decision on fluoridation of water supplies."*

The Council's Chief Health Surveyor said in a report that:

*"The letter appeared tantamount to blackmail."*

The same Department of Health pulled a similar stunt on the Coffs Harbour City Council, giving them a choice of "fluoridate, or no extra funds" (*Advocate*, 8/11/90).

They stated that **additional Dental Funding should only be for fluoridated areas.**

Remember the last Fluoridation Blackmail? It was printed in *Fluoridation News* and the *Gosford Star*, 26/9/84, showing the New South Wales Government's attempt to blackmail the Gosford Council into fluoridation by quoting the figure \$10 million to do a job that was subsequently altered under pressure, to only \$500,000.

We keep asking the question — What is so particular and so urgently important with fluoridation that makes bureaucratic lackeys under instructions from our elected Government, behave in a totalitarian manner, so undemocratic, so un-Australian, so unethical and dangerously unscientific, all to kill the "will of the people".

The importance or economical nonsense can be judged by an official press report, 29 Dec, 1990, showing the NSW Government is heading for a deficit of at least \$200 million.

---

### *... a new dangerous era of Health Care, that savagely cuts essential health services but lavishly wastes money on fluoridation.*

---

But still the Government bureaucrats are travelling around NSW with either a "carrot" or a "threat" on fluoridation, a cost for something democratically voted against by "the people", not wanted by the people, but dogmatically and blindly promoted by politicians following orders from their political party to reject the wish of those they "represent".

Are we entering, by compulsion, a new dangerous era of Health Care, one that savagely cuts essential health services but lavishly wastes money for fluoridation. **"Fluoridation money unlimited"**! The Motto of the Liberal and Labor Parties of Australia.

### Signs of disaster

Some Councils are starting to understand the wisdom of recognising signs of disaster such as the death of Mr and Mrs John Braim's pedigree stock, caused by leaking fluoridated water from a fluoridation plant built on the edge of their farm in Cygnet, Tasmania.

On 9th November, 1990 the Council of Port Cygnet

*Vol. 27, no. 2, p. 2*

where the Braim's farm is situated, wrote to the Tasmanian Minister of Health requesting alterations to the Compulsory Fluoridation Act and changing it to "Statewide Referendum".

What a sad but ethical appeal in a democratic society, seeking the rights of the individual from a Government elected by the people to serve "the will of the people"!

It's time Australians demanded the right of our Con-

stitution in which the politician is the servant of the people, and not one injudiciously ignoring the Australian Constitution and "the rights of the people".

Those against fluoridation the world over have won the scientific battle, but badly lose in the political arena!

Which company would you choose in which to be associated?

## Fluoride Prediction for Year 2000

Fluoridation has never been thought through by our politicians, bureaucrats, dentists, doctors and especially so-called environmentalists, Conservation Councils and even the Green Movement.

The fluoridation legislation is usually the Party's whim on the instructions from the faceless elite for the duration only of their term of office.

This is illustrated by the whirl of shredders when the ministerial officers are vacated. Why should official documents be shredded, documents that were the basis of the Ministers' honest and responsible actions on behalf of the community that elected that person to office.

We are now entering the 1990's and an examination of Fluoridation to date is the basis of our prediction for the year 2000.

Victoria (Melbourne) commenced artificial fluoridation 1977, 13 years ago.

The annual fluoridation addition of chemicals to the drinking water supplies are —

1000 tonnes fluoride chemicals

2000 tonnes Lime (to control corrosion of pipes)

2000-5000 tonnes Aluminium Sulphate (Alum) (to control sludge)

The totals of these chemicals used to date (1990) after 13 years of artificial fluoridation are:

Fluoride Chemicals 13,000 tonnes

Lime 26,000 tonnes

Aluminium Sulphate up to 65,000 tonnes

Quantify all that with the fluoride pollution of the drinking water supplies throughout Australia and just using the poisonous fluoride chemicals as a basis the Governments (your politicians) have added 80,000 tonnes of fluoride chemicals for the consumers to use accordingly.

If this dangerous political madness continues to the year 2000 as planned by the professional fluoride pushers our politicians will be delighted (not ashamed) for being responsible (sic) for the disposal of just on 100,000 (one hundred thousand) tonnes of FLUORIDE chemicals through our drinking water supplies.

The question is where does all that 100,000 tonnes of poisonous fluorides finally come to rest?

Fluorides are indestructable, just consider where it is used and discharged, and its eventual concentration in home gardens, parks, farms, rivers, bays, animals and of course the human bodies of the generations now and then!

Does the silence of the E.P.A. deafen you? Does the silence from the Conservation groups impress you?

Or are you satisfied with your elected Parliament and those politicians representing the people and guarding our Country in an efficient, intelligent and most importantly, an honest manner?

The total man-made (politically made) pollution is beyond belief but just imagine the fluoride manufacturers and its parasites laughing all the way to the bank.

## FOREIGN FLUORIDATION PROPAGANDIST BROUGHT TO AUSTRALIA AT THE INVITATION OF THE AUSTRALIAN DENTAL ASSOCIATION

The A.D.A. promoted an Australia wide lecture tour by Professor John Christensen, a dentist from Denmark.

Whilst in Tasmania, Professor Christensen was reported in the Hobart Mercury (18th July, 1990) as stating:

*"I heard rumours that they may give up fluoride here and I would strongly recommend that they don't.*

*In Denmark they have been drinking fluoride for generations and it has made an enormous difference."*

For the benefit of Tasmanian people, a letter from the Freedom from Fluoridation Federation of Australia was sent to the Hobart Mercury explaining that Denmark stopped artificial fluoridation in 1977 and it has never been re-introduced into their country.

**That letter was never published.**

A similar letter of complaint regarding the misinformation by the dental professor from Denmark was sent

to the Tasmanian Health Minister who, in reply suggested we write to the Denmark Government.

After a second letter stating it was the responsibility of the Minister to make a public corrective statement about the misinformation by Professor Christensen, the Minister replied: "With thanks for making available the information."

But no action has been made to correct the wrong fluoridation information given the Tasmanian people.

Next a letter (24th August, 1990) to the Danish Consul General in Victoria, asking the Consul General to confirm the statements by Professor Christensen on fluoridation status in Denmark.

**This letter was never acknowledged.**

Let us keep asking and asking the question — What is it about fluoridation that builds up such an aura of mystery, deception, and cover up tactics that fools nobody except the politicians?

### BOOKS ON FLUORIDATION

We have just landed a stock of books —

**Fluoride: The Aging Factor** by John Yiamouyiannis . . .

**\$12.00 plus postage (\$2.50)**

**Fluoride: The Freedom Fight** by Hans Moolenburgh . . .

**\$12.50 plus postage (\$2.50)**

Available from Anti Fluoridation Association, Box 935G, G.P.O. Melbourne Vic 3001

### *Australian Health and Healing* looks at fluoridation

We recommend purchase of the May-July 1990 issue, Vol. 9, No. 3 of this journal, which contains excellent material on fluoridation.

*Vol 27, no. 2, p. 3*

# IS TAP AND RAIN WATER CLEAN, SAFE AND PROPERLY CONTROLLED?

A sample of analyses that question the so-called "controlled fluoridation" and fluoride polluted rain.

<b>Melbourne</b>	<b>13th September, 1990</b>	<b>(9mm Rain)</b>
	Kitchen Tap.....	0.6 ppm F
	Other Taps in the same house.....	2.0 ppm F
		1.1 ppm F
		2.0 ppm F
		1.5 ppm F
	Rain.....	0.06 ppm F
<b>Melbourne</b>	<b>9th October, 1990</b>	<b>(8mm Rain)</b>
	Rain.....	1.3 ppm F
	<b>11th October, 1990</b>	<b>(45mm Rain)</b>
	Rain.....	0.02 ppm F
<b>Government Regulations Tap Water</b>		<b>1.0 ppm F</b>

**Question** Who discharged all the airborne fluoride ef-

fluent on 9th October, 1990 when there was 8mm rain with 1.3 ppm F. Also the Government and the N.H. and M.R.C. guarantees the fluoride content in our drinking water supplies is *critically controlled to an exact 1.0 ppm.F?*

The political, scientific, medical and dental communities accept ad nauseum the mumbo-jumbo pseudo scientific fluoridation hogwash propagated by the fluoride lobby, in spite of the above data indicating the uncontrollable fluorides in our *water and atmosphere*.

There must be some great hidden incentive for all those people to not only accept, but through their privileged positions, force their fluoridation projects onto the community, projects that do not have one modicum of honesty or logic.

## THE IMMUNE SYSTEM

The immune system is the body's major defence mechanism against disease.

Fluoride in the blood has been shown to affect the migration of white cells which in turn reduces the immune system.

Further reading on this subject is recommended. "Fluoride The Aging Factor" by Dr John Yiamouyiannis \$12.50 plus postage \$2.50 available from the F.F.F.F.

Concern regarding the immune system was recently highlighted in a television science program which stated that in the medical field, the immune system has never been **properly understood**.

Professor Nossal was quoted as saying some diseases could be dealt with **if we had a better understanding of the immune system**.

But we do have well-documented scientific studies showing how fluorides inhibit the immune system. These are medically ignored obviously because that dreadful word 'fluoride' is involved and there are no "advantages" (except human health) in demonstrating against poisonous fluorides in the human defence (immune) system.

## BRUSH-OFF FOR FLUORIDE

Battling mum Christine Woods has won a six-month campaign to prove too much fluoride can damage your teeth . . .

After her nine-year-old son overdosed on the chemical.

Now the Government is planning to put a warning on toothpaste . . . similar to the health warning on cigarette packets.

And last night a delighted Mrs Woods, of Culloch Road, Bearsden, Glasgow, said: "Perhaps this will warn other mothers of the dangers.

"I only started all this to make sure other people found out what could happen."

Mrs Woods, a district nurse, started her campaign this year.

Her son, Douglas, needed treatment after his teeth became mottled and discoloured.

His troubles started when Mrs Woods started giving him a fluoride supplement.

She said: "I thought I was doing the right thing. It was when I took Douglas for treatment I discovered

what had happened and decided to do something about it."

The warning is likely to read: "*Children under 7. Use a pea-sized amount for supervised brushing. If using fluoride supplements consult your dentist.*"

It has been welcomed by the Cosmetics, Toiletries and Perfumeries Association.

A spokesman said: "We agree with this move and have accepted some form of information should be printed on toothpaste."

A spokeswoman for the British Dental Association said: "We supported fluoride in water and in toothpaste. But we recommend fluoride supplements should only be used after consulting a dentist."

### Subscriptions: *The Australian Fluoridation News*

- Australia (excluding Victoria) and overseas  
Box C9, P.O. Clarence Street, Sydney 2000
- Victoria  
Anti-Fluoridation Association of Victoria,  
Box 935 G, G.P.O. Melbourne. 3001

## NEW BOOK ON FLUORIDATION FLUORIDATION

by Isabel Jansen, R.N.

"Fluoridation" is an expose of the tactics used by public health officials to promote the fluoridation of water as well as a treatise on the dangers of water fluoridation and its failure to deliver as advertised in improving juvenile dental health.

The author, Isabel Jansen, has been a long-time opponent of water fluoridation, believing that fluoride treatment should be administered on an individual basis as in any other prescription drug.

Isabel Jansen is a registered nurse, a graduate of Marquette University, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, and retired surgical assistant to Dr M.N. Feder-spiel, former Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery.

For her contributions to the well-being of humanity, she has been listed in the "World's Who of Women", "Directory of Distinguished Americans", "Who's Who in American Women", "Distinguished Women of the 20th Century", "Who's Who in the Mid-West", and "Who's Who in the World".

This book should be in the library of every anti-fluoridation person in Australia, and is available at \$12.50 plus \$2.50 postage.

Vol. 27, no. 2, p. 4