

# THE AUSTRALIAN FLUORIDATION NEWS



ARTIFICIAL FLUORIDATION  
IS WATER POLLUTION  
[www.fluoridationnews.com](http://www.fluoridationnews.com)  
[afavaust@gmail.com](mailto:afavaust@gmail.com)  
G.P.O. Box 935,  
Melbourne, Vic., 3001  
PLEASE PASS ON WHEN READ

Vol. 27  
No. 4

Price \$2.00  
\$15 per annum posted Australia

July-August  
1991

Registered by Australia Post —  
Publication No. NBN0721

## DEMOCRATIC LIGHT AT THE END OF THE TUNNEL

### The Combined Pensioners' Association of Victoria

At the Annual Conference of the Combined Pensioners' Association of Victoria, 15th April, 1991 the following Resolution was carried.

*"The Conference of the Combined Pensioners' Association believes that there is sufficient doubt of the efficacy of fluoridated water supply to institute an inquiry. The Conference recommends that terms of reference should be agreed upon with all interested parties, before the inquiry begins. The inquiry should enable all people to express their views either in writing, or by appearing before a panel. This inquiry should be open and try to reach a conclusion on the effects fluoridated water has on people in the country as well as the Melbourne and metropolitan area."*

### The United States National Parents' and Teachers' Association

This Association sent the following letter to the American Dental Association, April 17, 1991:

*"Gentlemen,*

*At its March 16 Meeting the Board of Directors of the National P.T.A. voted to change its position statement regarding water fluoridation. We are now urging P.T.A.s to support further research in this area in*

*order to determine the unknown risks and benefits of fluoride. A copy of our new position statement is enclosed for your information.*

*In light of the fact that our new statement so strongly urges caution to Parents' and Teachers' Associations, we feel that we should no longer be listed in your publications as one of the organisations that endorses or supports water fluoridation."*

### Tasmania

On 9th May, 1991 a new Fluoridation Amendment Bill was passed in the Assembly.

The new Bill cancels the 1960's Fluoridation Bill that prohibited any referenda by the public on whether or not they wanted fluoridation.

After 30 years of dictatorial suppression of the rights of the people, the new Bill gives democratic freedom of choice on fluoridation for the people of Tasmania.

The Health Minister, John White, describing the prohibition section of the old Fluoridation Bill said:

*"That section was out of date," and "people should have the right to say what they want or don't want in their water."*

Tasmania, the first State of Australia to introduce fluoridation now leads the way in true democracy and honest government by representing the wishes of the people, who have been fighting for cancellation of the old dictatorial prohibition of human rights.

## EDITORIAL

# FLUORIDATION FENCE-SITTING

The BIG exercise these days in the fluoridation lobby is to carefully record in every fluoridation "study" a "let-out" statement for the author and their organisation. The "let-outs" statement is usually inserted in a casual way that does not intrude into the lobby's main garbage on fluoridation, but can in the future be referred to as an honest suggestion of harm.

The fluoridation lobby conscience must have its moments of concern as to who will take full responsibility for population drugging with a well-known poison and its scientific documentation of harm. A **drugging that has no known minimum safety threshold.**

It would be a refreshing, honest action to see the fluoride pushers publish even one paper commencing with the admission they know very little about fluorides and human health, let alone its effect on teeth. That could bring a little respectability and some true science into their groups.

Consider the material presented to the public:—  
**Sydney Sun Herald 31st March, 1991**

*"The National Oral Health Survey found approximately 47 percent of 10-14 year-olds have no dental caries. But once you get to the 25 year-old group only a meagre 2.5 percent have no caries."*

That means at 25 years, 97.5 percent have decayed

teeth.

These data are interesting, as the often referred to National Oral Health Survey 1987 has never been published. During the A.C.T. (Canberra) Fluoridation Inquiry, that Committee was unable to obtain a copy of the study, even though it was referred to in Submissions to their Inquiry by pro fluoridationists and is still used in Government supporting statements on fluoridation.

The next point of interest is that all fluoridation lobbies including the National Health and Medical Research Council, propagandize (N.H. and M.R.C. Report 1990 page 7) the benefits of fluoridation continuing throughout life. However, the National Oral Health Survey (the A.D.A. say), shows an increase in dental caries of 1800 percent in the 10 years after the age of 14 years.

The cover-up excuse catch-cry today is, **"we have no data base"** (Douglas M.J.A. April 1, 1991, N.H. and M.R.C. Report 1990), but in spite of that admission from those in authority, that same group has been hiding behind questionable scientific advice to the Government, and indeed the people, for the past 40 years. All these claims are without any **"data base"**!

The experts have now changed their theories relative to "the recognition of the protective effect" (of fluo-

ridation). Fluoridation changes come and changes go, but the false fluoridation propaganda goes on forever and like 'Old Man River' it all starts back at a particular source. That fluoridation source is in a moral dilemma, but the flow of fluoridation cover-ups and propaganda is so well funded, that that alone will force at least a temporary flow into well dammed up reservoirs, with distribution heavily funded, into all parts of the world.

Money to poison water supplies? — It's unreal!

This is how it works — The United States Health and Human Services latest report 1991 on fluoridation, is an obvious white-wash of the 1990 National Toxicology Program which found the fluoride cancer link as "equivocal", but keeps promoting the safety of fluoridation and adds such statements as:

*"Further analytical studies are warranted to investigate various aspects of this relationship."*  
(Chapter on Health Risks Assessment of Fluoride 1991)

---

*After 50 years, the US fluoride "experts" admit that "they did not have a data base" for their claims and guarantees on fluorides*

---

On dental fluorosis the HHS Reports states: ". . . the only National data is from the NIDR 1986/87" and "these data can serve as the National baseline for future fluoride studies in the United States".

So after 50 years, the U.S. fluoride experts admit all their previous claims, guarantees and so-called dental science on fluoridation and fluorides in teeth are based on zero scientific knowledge, but this is their let-out — "they did not have a baseline".

In their conclusions on dental fluorosis they state:

*"The effect on dental fluorosis of multiple risk factors or of the simultaneous use of multiple fluoride modalities remains largely unknown."*

---

## THE MINISTER FOR MISINFORMATION

The New South Wales Health Minister, Peter Collins, seems to have taken on compulsory artificial fluoridation as the first priority in his Health Department.

Mr Collins seems to get more publicity on his fluoridation promotion than on any other health matter.

What makes fluoridation so excitingly important to the Minister? He also is reducing Hospital Services in the same communities. Mr Collins in his recent radio interview at Port Macquarie (17th April, 1991) on the subject of a Referendum on Fluoridation to be held in that city during August or September, 1991, made the most outrageous fluoridation statements ever made in Australia.

---

*It is up to the Minister to provide scientific evidence to save his and his Government's reputation.*

---

If a private business made similar claims on their products, the Department of Consumer Affairs would quickly stop such false advertising, but politicians, especially on the fluoridation trail consider themselves and their Departments, and indeed their Governments, exempt from truthful advertising, in fact that is the whole basis of fluoridation, both Australian and international.

**Mr Collins claimed the addition of fluoride into the public drinking water supplies will produce a 90 percent dental improvement.**

Other well-known fluoridation salesmen will be in a real flap — the telephones and fax machines will be flying all over Australia and the fluoridation headquarters in the U.S.A.

Mr Collins now leaves only a 10 percent margin in every fluoridated area to be shared between the claimed benefits of using fluoridated toothpaste, fluoridated gels, (a nice income for the dentists) fluoridated

On the subject of kidney problems, they go on to say:

*" . . . the overall health significance of reduced fluoride clearance is uncertain."*

*"In summary it is not yet clear (1991) whether fluoride is essential for reproductive performance."*

The Health and Human Services Report desperately assassinated the U.S. Government National Toxicology Program on the Fluoride/Cancer Link and make numerous supportive references to the International Agency for Cancer Research. That Agency's book on the Fluoride/Cancer Link has been scientifically and morally destroyed by evidence showing their collusion with the American Chemical Manufacturers' Association, W.H.O. and the National Cancer Institute of the U.S.A., but the political arrogance built on 50 years of unfettered fluoridation announcements, funded by the U.S. Health Department, does not daunt those rabid fluoride and fluoridation disciples.

It is not only interesting but highly important to always remember, the fluoridation lobby publications and committees **never** have an anti-fluoridation representative in their groups. The U.S. Government, like most other fluoride infected governments, consider that anyone not agreeing with their non-scientific fluoridation has no constitutional right in their parliamentary democracy.

The extreme fluoridation nonsense by the U.S. Health and Human Service is recorded on page 14 of their 1991 Report. They state:

**"Exposure is determined by a person's age, weight, diet, drinking water source, frequency of use of fluoride containing dental products, and by climate."**

With that in mind, it is **mind boggling** that anyone, let alone democratic governments and health Authorities argue that the daily fluoride dose is safe and controlled at 1 mg fluoride per day for EVERY child and adult.

mouth washes, fluoridated dental floss, fluoridated toothpicks, fluoridated tablets and School Dental Clinics, and above all regular visits to a dentist.

Imagine the fluoridation promotion dynasty and all their scientific research (sic) on the above processes — fluoride toothpaste 40 percent improvement, fluoride gels 45 percent, fluoride dental floss 10 percent, fluoride toothpicks 10 percent, School Dental Clinics 50 percent.

It is not for us to prove Mr Collins wrong (in many ways), it is up to him to provide scientific evidence printed in world scientific literature to save his and his Government's reputation, which otherwise is in the scientific gutter.

Perhaps the Premier of New South Wales, Mr Greiner, would come to his aid and add his scientific knowledge on mass medicating the New South Wales communities with artificial fluoridation, and substantiating his Minister's guarantee of a 90 percent improvement of teeth in fluoridated areas.

### Suggestion

Mr Collins and Mr Greiner write such an article for the Australian Dental Association Journal, and indeed overseas scientific journals. We feel sure they will be as interested as we are in the New South Wales Government guarantee of 90 percent improvement in teeth by fluoridating the community drinking water supplies and the scientific studies they possess to support their claims.

Strategies are one thing, but unless they are based on honesty and science they remain just useless propaganda.

On May 13, 1991 the Australian press announced, "NSW Government respected for honesty", say Greiner (Premier of NSW)!

The Premier should ask NSW people if they consider it honest to add fluoride chemicals to their water supplies against the "will of the people"! See **Stop Press**.

*Vol. 27, no. 4, p. 2*

# STOP PRESS

At elections held on 25 May 1991, the people of NSW had their say. The Government's majority of seats, previously 20, was wiped out, with the new Government operating only with the support of an independent member.

## The Silent Treatment

We are still waiting for answers to our questions to the Prime Minister of Australia, the President of the USA and the Prime Minister of U.K. — *Australian Fluoridation News* Sept-Oct 1991.

The question was —

"Why does the Australian and U.S. Governments compel the daily fluoride drenching of almost every Defence Personnel?"

The U.K. Prime Minister has not explained why "every person, irrespective of age and state of health **NEEDS** a daily dose of fluoride chemicals?"

# DENTISTS IN THE DOCK

(AUSTRALIAN FLUORIDATION NEWS, JANUARY/FEBRUARY 1991)

Following the filing of the Dental Doctors Court Case against the American Dental Association in Cleveland, the A.D.A. challenged the place (Cleveland) in which the case was filed.

Under a fine legal technicality, the A.D.A. argued they should not be forced to defend such a class action away from their headquarters in Chicago.

Whether a legal ploy or not, the class action doctors, rather than spend hundreds of thousands of dollars and waste time on such technical argument that had absolutely nothing to do with their "action", the doctors agreed to relisting the case in Chicago.

Now there are further problems with the listing of the case and our latest information is that it will be filed in Washington D.C.

Be patient — nothing that threatens fluoridation or its promoters, is given an easy way of life, even in establishing a Court Case.

## THE GREAT COVER-UP

### Tooth sealants also needed

The Victorian Government Minister of Health announced on National Radio 2nd May, 1991 a new Government plan for children's teeth. All Grade 2 Victorian children will get sealants to fill the fissures in their teeth.

People seem interested in this latest wonderful (?) concern by the Government for children's teeth.

BUT

Haven't we all been assured and indeed conned (guaranteed value for our taxes) that fluoridation stops tooth decay, that fluoride penetrates the complete area of the teeth, and by so doing stops tooth decay?

Isn't that the catch cry of dentists and politicians?

Two things have happened. The Victorian Government, and indeed all Governments in Australia (that means all politicians) have misled the Australian population about the effectiveness of fluoridation. They have lied about its performance, otherwise sealants

would not be necessary to stop decay where it is most pronounced.

Next, the Victorian Government, and again all other Australian Governments and their politicians have not bothered, or are too ignorant, or maybe too arrogant to be informed by world scientific literature, in which it has been demonstrated in overseas scientific experience and studies showing **fluorides do not get into the fissures of teeth.**

Indeed, our *Australian Fluoridation News* has published much data on the misrepresentation of fluoride on teeth and quoted the U.S. Director of the National Institute of Dental Research in his submission to a Government Inquiry where he admitted fluoride does not get into the fissures of teeth, and sealants (fillings) are necessary to protect that usual decay area of the tooth.

Yes, sealants are necessary to protect the decay area of the teeth, but more importantly, sealants are necessary to protect the hogwash we have been fed about the effectiveness of fluoridation.

## FLUORIDE FEEDBACK

A lady recently told the Editor that when visiting her dentist for cleaning of her teeth, the dentist suggested some advice on how to protect her teeth with fluoride.

The lady told the dentist she had good tooth protection, she said "I use one of those units (filter) that removes the 'rabbit foot' from my drinking water!"

The good natured dentist roared with laughter and said "I must remember that one".

A young man just back to Australia after two months in Europe, when asked about pollution and quality of drinking water, and if fluoridated, he said:

"My parents in Europe have never had fluoride in their water, and have wonderful teeth, and I lived in the same home until I was out of my teens. I am well passed the teens and do not have a filling."

A member of our Association said she did not know if any good ever came from her endeavours when ex-

plaining the dangers of fluoridation.

In answer to the lady it was suggested there is no scientific yardstick to measure such actions, but to always remember the Longfellow poem:

### The Arrow and the Song

"I shot an arrow into the air  
It fell to earth I knew not where."

That seems a nice description of the yardstick measurement of not only good deeds but good information, so load your quivers with fluoridation arrows and shoot with confidence.

True and rational fluoridation information is also like a stone landing in the centre of a pond. The ripples spread and increase as they reach the shore.

Never be ashamed, and never hesitate when you are telling the truth.

Vol. 27, no. 4, p. 3

## THE FLUORIDE ACID TEST

The most serious fluoridation test of honesty, democracy, leadership, morality, self-respect and who governs the State of Victoria is upon us.

The recent formation of the Coalition Opposition, made up of the Liberal and National Parties and their parliamentary leaders selling their agenda for the next election.

The National Party Leader, Mr Pat McNamara sup-

ports his Party's Fluoridation Policy clearly expressed in their Party Platform, a Platform that states no fluoridation without public referenda.

This policy has just been reinforced by the public announcement of Mr John McGrath, M.L.A. National Party Representative for Warrnambool.

The local Warrnambool Council Medical Officer, Dr H. Savery was again (21/3/91) supporting compulsory

mass medicating the Warrnambool people with fluoridated water but John McGrath stood by his Party's Platform and publicly rejected Dr Savery's promotion of fluoridation.

Incidentally, the Secretary of the Trades and Labour Council, Mr Kevin Watt, also dismissed Dr Savery's promotion as undemocratic and publicly stating the Unions will build and connect fluoridation equipment in Warrnambool only "if the community votes in favour of fluoridation".

Mr Pat McNamara, Deputy Leader, Opposition Victorian Government, has made no secret of his Party's Fluoridation Platform — no fluoridation without a Referendum.

So the Deputy Leader of the Victorian Government Opposition stands by his Party's Fluoridation Platform.

Next, a new Parliamentary Leader of the Liberal Party was elected on 23rd April, 1991. He is Jeff Kennett who spoke on Radio 3LO, 26th October, 1983 when he was previously Leader of the Opposition.

Mr Kennett was asked for his opinion on a question by a Geelong resident who expressed opposition to being forced to drink fluoridated water.

Mr Kennett replied (Transcript available):

"Well, I can say I very much appreciate the caller's point of view. As he probably well knows, the Parliament as a whole some years ago passed the fluoridation of our water supply. In some areas that hasn't gone through.

I happen to agree that Governments trying to interfere with the freedom of choice of people, particularly on medication, is far from desirable.

All I can suggest to the caller, is that he makes representations if he is from Geelong, to the five Labor members of Parliament who represent that area, to ensure his view, that is fluoridation of the water system at Geelong does not proceed."

On the same day Mr Kennett spoke on Radio 3AW, the Derrin Hinch Show Talk Back.

Someone rang through and asked a very similar question of Mr Kennett and he answered it almost word for word, with the exception that he used a different expression such as "I do not agree with Parliament legislating and regulating people's lifestyle."

It is now time for Liberal Parliamentary representation based on our Constitution and for those politicians to vote FOR THE PEOPLE not against the electorate, and stop being bullied by the faceless group that control them.

The acid test is now in Jeff Kennett's court, it can prove pure gold or fool's gold, but such a rare opportunity for the future leaders of the Victorian Parliament to look real men.

## MEDICAL FLUORIDATION OPINIONS

On 2nd April 1991 the General Medical Council, London, gave their description of compulsory fluoridation.

"The question you raised turns, however, on a philosophical or even political point, and might best be considered in terms of the conflict between the freedom of the individual, in this case to accept or reject such preventive measures, and the responsibility of the state to provide for the health of the public."

Following that statement the Medical Council side-steps the fluoridation question with great scientific agility, they state:

"The Council's functions are defined by the Medical Act 1983, and its consideration of medical ethics relates for the most part to the standards of professional conduct which doctors may be expected to maintain. The Council would regard this question (fluoridation) as one for society, rather than the Council, to determine."

From the above one can glean a more responsible attitude to compulsory medication via the kitchen tap compared with the long established medical dogma protecting this most questionable daily public drenching with a toxic industry waste by-product.

### British Medical Association 1991

The British Medical Association giving their opinion on compulsory artificial fluoridation (22 March 1991) stated BMA "most recently affirmed in 1984" their policy on fluoridation which is to "demand fluoridation legislation that requires water authorities to fluoridate". They also stated:

"More recently the mottling of tooth enamel by over exposure to fluoride has been the main issue of research into the adverse effects of fluoride. Evidence exists suggesting that exposure to certain levels of fluoride during the formative years of secondary teeth, can lead to mottling, although it is unclear whether it is ingested fluoride that causes mottling rather than using fluoride toothpaste or rinses. Recommendations have therefore been made regarding the administration of fluoride supplements to young children who already receive certain levels of fluoride through water and possible ingestion of fluoride toothpaste.

One interesting aspect of the fluoride debate is the suggested increased levels of fluoride found in the environment now compared to those found when water fluoridation was first used as a protective measure. Fluoride at certain levels is harmful to

both man and the environment therefore any increase in our general consumption of fluorides through air, food and water contamination needs to be borne in mind when recommending fluoride supplementation through water supply, dental rinses, tablets and toothpaste.

Although the evidence for improvement in the dental health of the nation is significant, I agree that the drinking water inspectorate has a responsibility to ensure that all chemicals are monitored including fluoride. Further research, funded by central government, to obtain more data on the effects of long term ingestion of fluoride should be supported."

Further research needed, they say, but they also said "They would argue that the evidence against fluoridation is unequivocal."

Their conclusion was:

"The arguments will surely continue as to whether the provision of a preventive measure for the whole population can overrule individual autonomy and the right to refuse such a measure. This will be discussed under the auspices of the Medical Ethics Committee of the Association in the future."

## HOW PROBLEMS DISAPPEAR!

What has happened to the world protest against the Johnston Atoll Poison Gas Incinerator? (A.F.N. September/October 1990).

Here is a perfect example of how the media is controlled. Australian Fluoridation News pointed out the way such poisonous gas effluent can travel around the world, and now the same data is published about Chernobyl and its far reaching radio active material.

Write to your local paper, ring the local talk-back program, ring your political representative, send a fax to the Prime Minister, his Health Department, and ask the full details on Johnston Atoll since our reference September/October 1990.

### Subscriptions: The Australian Fluoridation News

- Australia (excluding Victoria) and overseas  
Box C9, P.O. Clarence Street, Sydney 2000
- Victoria Anti-Fluoridation Association of  
Victoria,  
Box 935 G, G.P.O. Melbourne. 3001

Vol. 27, no. 4, p. 4