


THE AUSTRALIAN FLUORIDATION NEWS



ARTIFICIAL FLUORIDATION
IS WATER POLLUTION

www.fluoridationnews.com

afavaust@gmail.com

G.P.O. Box 935,

Melbourne, Vic., 3001

PLEASE PASS ON WHEN READ

Vol. 29
No. 2

Price \$2.00
\$15 per annum posted Australia

March-April
1993

Registered by Australia Post —
Publication No. NBG0721

E.P.A. FLUORIDATION WHISTLE-BLOWER REINSTATED

Dr William L. Marcus, Senior Science Advisor, Criteria and Standards Division E.P.A., U.S.A.

Dr Marcus was sacked (15th May, 1992) by the U.S. Environment Protection Authority (E.P.A.) because he questioned the honesty of his superiors in the E.P.A. who were erroneously representing fluorides and fluoridation to the U.S. public and the Government.

That is the usual action of defence of shoddy science by those responsible for the (shoddy) American Government promotion of fluoridation.

No bureaucrat, irrespective of scientific expertise must ever be so bold as to challenge the Government fluoridation propaganda and their licence to lie.

In the world of politics, medicine and dentistry, past and present, we have never experienced the built-up importance of anything like compulsorily medicating the world with a dangerous and useless waste by-product of industry.

It has now been announced that Dr Marcus took his dismissal to court, where it was found in his favour, 5th October, 1992, and the E.P.A. forced by law to reinstate Marcus with all his previous employment entitlements, together with an award of \$50,000 damages.

This must be a landmark court case in fluoridation history.

It will also enable more E.P.A. fluoridation skulldug-

gery to be made public, such as the admission in court of E.P.A. to the shredding of embarrassing documents and others which were released under the Freedom of Information Act.

A landmark court case in fluoridation history.

In the Marcus case, the E.P.A. shredded at least 70 items. This of course is in keeping with the standard already established by the fluoridation lobby known in many parts of the world as the fluoride mafia.

The judge found Marcus was sacked:

"Because he publicly questioned and opposed E.P.A. policy."

Note the unsupported counter-claim of the E.P.A. which the judge called "a pretext".

Whistle-blowers are a real enemy in a bureaucratic environment, but the world population will appreciate the brave stance taken by William Marcus in this most important issue.

Good luck William Marcus, and appreciation from Australia.

FLUORIDATION JUSTICE IN THE BLUE MOUNTAINS

The public of the Blue Mountains, April 1992, revolted against the N.S.W. Government action in the fluoridating of their water supply.

The angry demonstrations were not only against medicating the population with daily doses of fluoride but the shoddy manner adopted by the N.S.W. Government. (**Fluoridation News**, July-August 1992).

The extreme action, April 1992, by police in arresting the 12 protestors at the gates to the fluoridation plant resulted in Court proceedings against the 12 citizens.

The 'terrible 12' were representatives of the hundreds of protestors who had demonstrated for weeks in Katoomba at street and public meetings — all protesting against being mass medicated with fluoride against 'their will'. Their protest meetings were correctly and lawfully organized in a proper democratic way of public protest.

Videos of arrests were presented to the Court by police as evidence against the 'terrible 12'. Australian Press gave wide coverage in Capital City daily papers (an important news service explained later).

From the overall police action a stranger could easily think the 'terrible 12' were a band of dangerous radicals let loose at the gates to the new fluoridation station at 7 a.m. that winter morning in temperatures close to zero. (Temperature of Katoomba not the temperature of the police).

The 'terrible 12' anti-fluoridationists were later

bailed out on charges of 'Hindering Police'.

The final Court proceedings against the 'terrible 12' was conducted on 25th January, 1993 in the Katoomba Court.

All charges against the 'terrible 12' were dismissed and costs granted to Alderman Pasco a member of the Katoomba Council.

The Court found a lack of consultation between the community and the Water Board, the N.S.W. Government and its Health Department together with the seriousness of the community's concern over the enforced fluoridation.

The Court found the fluoridation protestors (the terrible 12) had a "reasonable excuse" for their obstruction of the Water Board's vehicles at the gates of the fluoridation plant.

All charges against the "terrible 12" were dismissed and costs granted to Alderman Pasco.

Fluoridation has such importance and high priority for Governments, willing to enter into extreme sanctions of enforcement against the overwhelming will of the people.

Such actions are not only stupid and repressive against good Australian citizens but completely unde-

mocratic when people demand responsibility for the caring of their own lives and protection of their families.

Let us conclude by going back to paragraph 5 and the original Australia wide coverage by the media reporting the arrest of fluoridation protestors.

The Australian Press did not report the Katoomba Court dismissing all charges against the arrested protestors nor did they give any publicity to the legal reasons of "reasonable excuse" for their action.

The press barons referees for honest fair play must have been on holidays, but the truth will come out.

FLUORIDATION AND THE PRESS

The following News Release from the Freedom from Fluoridation Federation of Australia was posted or faxed to just on 400 newspapers, radio and TV channels, Australian Associated Press and many journalists.

We have been able to trace seven papers that published our News Release, but these were all country papers!

Not one city daily around Australia accepted our report on the High Court Ruling about medical negligence in not warning about drug or medical treatment side-effects.

In the past we have published our survey on the media, showing only one percent of letters etc. published.

We finished the year 1992 with a 100 percent media improvement — our News Release is recorded at 2 percent acceptance (only in the country), that is 7 out of 400!

That great leap in percentage (100 percent) is an example of how dental fluoridation mathematicians make such large percentages out of nothing.

NEWS RELEASE

24th November, 1992

The High Court of Australia has just ruled 19th November, 1992 that Surgeons and Doctors must advise patients of all material risks of operations and treatment, or face action for negligence if a patient suffers an undisclosed side-effect.

This latest ruling overrules the previous obnoxious law that conformity with "acceptable medical practice" was enough to exonerate a doctor.

The law now requires a truthful answer by a doctor to questions from a patient.

"The law should recognize that a doctor has the duty to warn a patient of a material risk inherent in a proposed treatment."

Everyone in Melbourne and other fluoridated cities is daily medicated, mostly against their will, via their kitchen taps with fluoridated water.

Fluoride in fluoridation is registered by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration as a drug and a medicine.

Fluoride is added to the water to cause a physiological change in one's body. That is the scientific description of a medicine.

As our politicians, their bureaucrats, the Health Department, the A.M.A., A.D.A. and universities endorse, and indeed help force this medication onto the population, the question now surfaces as to how can any or

all of these fluoride lobby groups escape the latest High Court ruling unless they issue public warnings.

Already the National Health and Medical Research Council have published in their 1991 Fluoridation Report that babies are being poisoned with formula foods made up with fluoridated water. However, they will not make public press announcements. Why are they so afraid of their own truth?

The time is now close when mass medication with fluoridated water will be tested on the highest legal authority in the land.

If the Government, its Health Minister and the Health Department and/or Melbourne Water do not immediately make public press announcements about the possible side-effects of drinking fluoridated water and fluoride toothpaste and baby formula foods, then they must face the obvious.

Of course commonsense and compassion should overrule any suggestion of Court Action. I hope.

Yours sincerely

(Signed) Glen S.R. Walker.

HOOKED ON FLUORIDE

The National Health and Medical Research Council (NH&MRC) 1991 Report makes this conclusion:

"In children, there is a need for effective control over discretionary sources of supplementary fluoride, to avoid excessive intake in some individuals. Avoidance of high individual intake of fluoride in childhood can best be achieved by control of discretionary sources of fluoride. This includes: the introduction of controls directed at reducing the ingestion of discretionary fluoride in fluoridated toothpaste; reductions in the fluoride concentration of some infant formula powders; and discouraging the inappropriate use of fluoride tablets and drops."

On 12th December, 1992 the TV program "Beyond 2000" produced an item on fluoride which originated from Professor Martin Curzon, School of Dentistry, Department Child Health, University of Leeds, U.K.

The Professor was promoting "small fluoride pellets that sit inside the mouth and slowly dissolve to release a continual discharge of fluoride."

This dangerous fluoride sales propaganda is freely and openly fed to the public without Government inquiry into its medical danger to humans as warned by its own N.H. and M.R.C. 1991 Fluoridation Report. WHY?

SAVING THE BABIES

The Acting Chief Dental Officer, Department of Health, Victoria, replying to questions (28th September, 1992) about the danger to babies on formula foods made up with fluoridated water as warned by the N.H. and M.R.C. in their 1991 Report on Fluoridation, stated:

"Health Department Victoria established a Fluoride Committee after the release of the National Health and Medical Research Council's (NH&MRC) Report, 'The Effectiveness of Water Fluoridation' in 1991. This Committee is now taking action to encourage infant formula manufacturers to reduce the fluoride content in their products. The expert Fluoride Committee of the N.H. and M.R.C. is reviewing use of fluoride supplements and is expected to report by the end of the year."

"While the University of Melbourne was not able to attract funds to conduct the fluoride research mentioned in HDV's 6th November, 1991 letter,

similar research is now being undertaken by the Australian Institute of Health. Results are not expected to be known until 1993."

All of that seems quite conclusive and quite clear that there is a problem with infant formula food, especially made up with fluoridated water, and also it seems very clear that not only the N.H. and M.R.C., the great promoters of fluorides and fluoridation, but the Health Department of Victoria, and the Australian Institute of Health, all seem to recognise the importance and the danger to babies of formula foods made up from fluoridated water.

However, the Acting Chief Dental Officer finished his letter by stating:

"One of the major conclusions of 'The Effectiveness of Water Fluoridation' report was that — 'There is no evidence of adverse health effects attributed to fluoride in communities exposed to

Vol. 29, no. 2, p. 2

fluoridated water (1 ppm) and contemporary discretionary sources of fluoride'."

Here we have a perfect example of bureaucratic fluoridation writing in which the first part of the letter clearly defines a problem in infant formula foods and their fluoride content, the urgent research and indeed very serious research that is taking place, then the Dental Officer finishes by saying that the **N.H. and M.R.C. found no evidence of adverse health effects attributed to fluoride in fluoridated water.**

In the world of true science this is what is called 'fluoride gobbledy-gook'.

The U.S. **Pediatrics**, August 1992 published a letter from Dr Richard Goldsmith who said:

*"I wish to bring to the attention of the readers of **Pediatrics**, who are not already aware of it, the latest in the long list of absurdities. The Beech-Nut Company has now stooped to marketing a product called 'Babies First Spring Water'. This product is simply water of presumed and unquestioned purity and subsequently sterilised, and to which has been added 1 ppm fluoride. Surrounding the Beech-Nut Baby Logo on the label is a blue band in which is written 'Fluoridated'."*

This material is available to mothers of children living in fluoridated areas!

He stated that in the U.S.A. when:

*"... fluorides are added to vitamins, classified as food products, (it) changes the status to that of a **prescription only drug.**"*

Dr Goldsmith urged:

"The Academy should use its good offices to advise the Food and Drug Administration and use its network of publications to inform pediatricians throughout the country regarding this product, and of the implications in its inappropriate and uncontrolled use."

Quite clear that there is a problem with infant formula food, especially made up with fluoridated water.

Fluoride products are freely available over the counter in Australia, and the word "drug" never used (or permitted).

We must not sully the ill-gotten reputation of fluorides and fluoridation in Australia irrespective of the danger of such Government poison laws exempting (from warnings) fluorides for therapeutic use.

Fluorides are the gods of all drug and chemicals in the minds of our politicians, their political parties, through to the bureaucracy, universities, A.M.A., and A.D.A. and those who control the above.

VITAMIN K

Vitamin K, which doctors claim "prevents a very rare blood-clotting disorder" has been injected into newborn Australian babies for more than twenty years.

Only one damaging study has created panic and doctors express differing views.

The medical embarrassment is that Vitamin K practice is causing cancer in children. The medical profession and the Government (N.H. & M.R.C.) exhibit great concern over this one-off study in the British Medical

Journal 1992.

There are so many world-wide documented studies showing the effect of fluoride on children and especially as the Government (N.H. and M.R.C.) advised (1991) deleting fluoride supplements, and the danger of babies fed with formula foods made up with fluoridated water.

One cannot help but wonder why such panic about Vitamin K revelations when most of the same doctors pay absolutely no attention to fluoride poisoning of babies and young children, in fact they prescribe fluoride supplements contrary to Government advice.

The history of medicine is strewn with bad medical practices, the truth of which is jealously guarded by the profession, educated never to admit fault, or research with open minds. They believe God is perfect, but doctors a little more perfect, and must not be questioned or accused of faulty beliefs and practices.

The medical embarrassment is that Vitamin K practice is causing cancer in children.

The Chairman of the National Health and Medical Research Council Committee on this matter said: "**Administering the Vitamin was standard practice.**" Does that make it a proper medical and scientific procedure, or is it a serious assault on babies, or does it come under the recent High Court ruling seemingly quite clear, because **mothers have not been warned or indeed told that their newborn baby has been injected with a vitamin substance.**

A current survey of mothers has indicated not one knew their baby had been injected with vitamin K nor warned accordingly.

So now after 20 years the "Standard medical practice" is cancelled out of the medical books, and like many other procedures and drugs, quietly buried in the way fluoridation will finally disappear, all without criminal or scientific investigation by the Government.

One must ask why such a secret medical licence is possible.

From Your Children

Be real careful what you think!

Give us water safe to drink!

We are children, we don't know,

We believe what you say is so.

Please don't harm us, if you're not sure,

Give us water you know is pure!!!

There are things we just can't choose,

And we trust our parents views.

Please don't make a big mistake —

especially for your childrens sake!

Read your material, for we can't read,

and give us what you know we need!

Is it possible adults have lied —

about this thing they call fluoride?

Or perhaps you just don't know!

Could it hurt our bodies so?

Mom and Dad if there's a doubt —

Please, Please check it out!!!

Love, Your Kids.

Susan E. Shaw, 16.10.92, Wisconsin USA

Vol. 29, no. 2, p. 3

FLUORIDATION BRIEFS

VICTORIA

Labor Premier, Mrs Kirner, 13th September, 1992 published a news release headed, "Health Care. A right not a privilege".

However, the **right** of choice and the will of the people is excluded.

USA

The Lancet, U.S.A. 8th August, 1992 in an article

discussing fluoridation and its effect on reducing dental decay stated:

"Although the overall income (of dentists) did not increase, the number of dentists did from 141,300 in 1980 to 168,000 in 1989."

An increase of 20 percent! Fluoridation does not seem to be affecting the number of necessary dentists in the U.S.A.

New Zealand

Fluoridation was removed by Referendum at Tau-ranga, N.Z., after an expensive campaign by the pro-fluoridation lobby which failed to convince the community with their exaggerated propaganda claims.

A.L.P. Branch

Ringwood A.L.P. Branch passed the following motion on 13th November, 1991.

"In view of the concerns about the health problems created by the use of fluoride that a comparative health survey be done comparing the health patterns of Melbourne and Geelong to see if there are any differences between health patterns in the two cities. Also to see if the introduction of fluoride has had any noticeable effect on the health patterns of Melbourne people."

A.L.P. Country Conference 8/9 August, 1992, Resolution by the Ringwood Branch of the A.L.P.:

"Demands that the Government cease adding fluoride to our water supply as no Australian studies have been done on the effect it has on the elderly. Bottle-fed infants on formula in fluoridated areas are being greatly overdosed. (Source of information "The Effects of Fluoridation" by the N.H. and M.R.C.)"

The motion was carried.

Victorian Legislative Assembly April 1992

Question by the Hon. G.P. Connard to ask the Hon. The Minister of Health:

"Who has the specific responsibility for providing information to parents, Infant Welfare Centres, Baby Health Centres, Infant Day Care Centres, Mothers' Clubs and similar bodies on the use of infant formulas in fluoridated and non-fluoridated areas of Victoria, as detailed in the 1991 National Health and Medical Research Council Fluoridation Report?"

"To what extent does the Government accept responsibility for the now known excess fluoride ingestion by infants partaking of infant formulas prepared with fluoridated water."

The Minister in part of her reply stated:

"The Health Department of Victoria will be supporting the work of the newly appointed N.H. and M.R.C. Discretionary Fluorides Working Group to achieve this change."

So in spite of the questions and the answers, it is quite clear that the top Australian Health Department and the Government have no idea of the position and condition of fluoride ingestion and reactions to children and adults in Victoria or indeed Australia.

Victorian Election Night 1992

On declaring the landslide to the Liberal Party, the new Premier, Mr Kennett seemed somewhat muddled in his response to his supporters celebrating his victory.

He commenced his response by saying, "to all you victims, er I mean all you Victorians".

Yes, Mr Kennett, we are **all victims** of very shoddy fluoridation legislation in the State of Victoria.

Tasmania

Mercury October 1992:

"Results from 12 farms revealed that some cattle had fluoride levels high enough to cause osteofluorosis — softer and chalkier bones liable to fracture."

This is another fluoride scandal in the State of Tasmania and this time the fluoride is released from the Comalco Aluminium Smelter at Bell Bay plant.

Queensland

They either never learn or else something more important is at stake.

From the office of the Premier of Queensland came the official information that "the corporate plan for Queensland health, 1992-97 states that the Department

will 'cooperate with local government in working towards the introduction of water fluoridation'."

In all Australian literature on the quality of the teeth in Brisbane, it illustrates very clearly that without fluoridation in the City of Brisbane, the children's teeth are better than fluoridated Melbourne and other cities around Australia where the fluoride in the water has been used for many years.

The equation for Queensland people, and indeed any rational thinking person, is to work out why "unfluoridated Brisbane children's teeth are better than fluoridated areas and why the Government decides to add fluoride to the water supplies!"

The final word in the communication from the office of the Premier stated:

"Finally the decision to introduce fluoridation is a matter for Government."

Obviously, not a matter for the people.

Alaska

"Widow sues in fluoride death"

The **Daily News Miner**, Fairbanks, Alaska, 17th September, 1992 reported:

"The widow of a Hooper Bay man who died earlier this year after drinking fluoride-tainted water from a village well has filed a \$3 million negligence lawsuit."

"About 260 of the village's 845 residents became ill from what the state health officials have called the largest outbreak of fluoride poisoning ever reported."

England 3rd June, 1992

"Fluoride Is Thrown Out" — Lancashire Evening Telegraph:

"North West Water rejected fluoridation saying they turned down its request for fluoridation because there was no substantial community support for it. That involves almost 7 million people saved from a daily dose of poison."

U.S.A. — Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, November 1992

Community take fluoridators to Court.

U.S.A. — Arkansas, November 1992

Fort Smith community vote against fluoridation.

GARDEN RECIPE FOR EARWIGS

Earwigs must be tough little insects.

The gardening section of the local radio station 3AW on Sunday morning December 27, gave out a gardening recipe for killing earwigs.

Their formula was based on using 200 grams sodium fluoride. This is equal to 200 adult lethal doses.

They suggested spreading their mixture around the garden, and when asked about the supply of sodium fluoride said it was freely available at any chemist shop.

Chemists have been shocked by people asking for their 200 grams of sodium fluoride.

Most people attempting to buy their 200 grams of sodium fluoride cannot believe it is not freely available because as one lady said, "sodium fluoride is a harmless material put into our drinking water by the Government!"

Subscriptions: *The Australian Fluoridation News*

- Australia (excluding Victoria) and overseas Box C9, P.O. Clarence Street, Sydney 2000
- Victoria Anti-Fluoridation Association of Victoria, Box 935 G, G.P.O. Melbourne. 3001

Vol. 29, no. 2, p. 4