

THE AUSTRALIAN FLUORIDATION NEWS



**ARTIFICIAL FLUORIDATION
IS WATER POLLUTION**

Box 935G, G.P.O.
Melbourne, Vic., 3001
Phone: (03) 9592 5088
Fax: (03) 9592 4544
www.glenwalker.net

PLEASE PASS ON WHEN READ

Vol 42
No.1

Price \$5.00
\$25 per annum posted Australia

January-February
2006

Print Post Approval
PP331.985 00013
ISSN 1445-2847

FLUORIDATION A GEOGRAPHIC HEALTH HAZARD

By Glen S.R. Walker

If you live in an English speaking country, you are at risk from a health hazard.

The world population is medically divided in the use of fluoridated water.

The undeniable fact is that only 4% of the world population drink artificially fluoridated water, which means 96% of world population DO NOT USE FLUORIDATION.

The "great" fluoridation lobby is concentrated in the English speaking countries of U.S.A., Canada, the U.K., Australia and New Zealand.

Perhaps geography is not a matter of importance in understanding education for politicians, medical and dental schools but the public should become alarmed.

Surely it is of paramount importance to question why the scientifically advanced countries of Europe shun the fluoridation pseudo-science (propaganda) promoted in the English speaking countries.

Today dentists, doctors, governments, health departments, and all the other members of the "Fluoride Lobby" are fashion experts in dressing a monkey (fluoridation) in as many outfits as possible, but the European scientists openly and clearly still see it as, "just a monkey", in spite of the cleverly brilliant regalia that strikes the eye of poor intelligence.

Fluoride remains and retains its proper situation in scientific literature where it is classified as a POISON, a pesticide, an insecticide, a rodenticide (rat poison) (WHO).

Fluoridation no Benefit to Adults but Added to Defence Establishment Water Supplies

The Fluoridation trail is difficult to follow and well planned against use by normal citizens.

On 27th March, 1987, I wrote to the Minister for Defence asking for data on the Government compulsion of only fluoridated water for all Defence establishments and its personnel.

On 7th May, 1987, the Minister assisting the Minister for Defence replied:

"In regard to your request for complete details of the use of fluoride in Defence establishments, you will appreciate that such information would take considerable effort to compile. The Department is reluctant to divert its officers from more important matters that will not alter your long-standing objection

to fluoridation of water supplies." (Signed John Brown)

It seemed I opened up an embarrassing matter of Defence Department "health procedure" for which they have no computer record.

The final few words are the crux of this serious matter, because the Minister considered "my long-standing objection to fluoridation of water supplies."

That had nothing to do with my request for Defence Department reasons for Defence Department daily fluoride dosing of all Army, Navy and Air Force personnel.

Notwithstanding the Minister's reasons for not answering my request I again wrote to the Defence Department 7th August, 1987, asking for the scientific reasons for daily drugging the Defence Force personnel with fluoride.

On 17th September, 1987, I received a reply from the Parliamentary Secretary for Defence Science and Personnel.

It stated the answer to my request was published in the NH and MRC Report on Fluoridation 1985.

This is not correct, no such evidence is documented.

However, the Defence Department letter stated:

"In summary the Recommendations of the statement (NH and MRC Report) the Council confirmed its previous recommendations concerning fluoridation of water as being a safe and effective measure for control of dental caries."

"The statement does not confirm or deny any benefit to the adult dentition from fluoridated water; nevertheless, references cited within it state that fluoridated water at the recommended level is not a hazard to health." (Signed Ros Kelly) (Emphasis added)

Our next question was never answered:

"If there is no evidence of 'any benefit to adult dentition', WHY IS IT A COMPULSORY addition to Defence Establishment's water supply?"

The Fluoridation Maze

That Fluoridation Maze Trail (Australian Fluoridation News - Nov/Dec. 2005) continues with a suggestion that a New Minister of Truth would help in many matters relating to Compulsory Fluoridation.

A NHMRC letter dated 25th August, 2005, stated:

"The NHMRC does not have a separate formal statement about fluoridation of drinking water and, as such, neither supports nor criticises fluoridation of

drinking water." (Emphasis added)

Have you worked out this health ramification of scientific gobbledegook by the High Priests of your Government, its agencies and perhaps its "Power without Responsibility" under supreme indemnity by the Hierarchy of this false health process.

If you can understand the flummoxed science of Government and Dental "Science" you should be more entitled to use the title of Doctor than most dentists who are not academically qualified in a University Degree of Dentistry; D.D.Sc. is very rare in Australia.

Dressing up the monkey sometimes is unseen by the public, making the dental myth a reality out of nothing.

"Dental experts" preach a sermon of amazing fluoridation misinformation, but one must admire their professional consistency in each one carrying the torch of ignorance in such a serious health matter.

Mudgee, NSW

At a meeting on fluoridation at Mudgee, NSW, a team of NSW Fluoridation "Experts" lectured locals on the benefit of fluoridation.

A Professor representing the NSW Health Department told the people that if they did not want fluoride in their drinking water supplies, they could easily remove the fluoride by using a charcoal filter. (Charcoal filters, of course, do **not remove** fluorides added to a water supply.)

That statement was challenged by a person in the audience.

The dentist stated that was NSW Government knowledge of filters - end of question and answer.

An example of the false Government fluoridation "knowledge" upon which you are forced to ingest a toxic chemical in your own home every day of your life!

Wodonga, Victoria

The Victorian Government has told Wodonga they must commence fluoridation.

This has been challenged especially in the newspaper, **The Border Mail**.

Perhaps out of so many letters against fluoridation printed in the **Border Mail**, one is of particular interest.

On 21st December 2005, Peter L. Reid wrote, stating:

"for more than 30 years he has been trying to gain a copy of the supposed documented evidence of fluoridation safety."

That was an interesting letter because this organisation has also been unable to see documented scientific evidence after seeking such documents world wide.

We have "asked and never received", because we always state - provide scientific evidence, NOT dental slogans.

No Evidence of Safety

On 27th March 1979 a letter was written to the World Health Organization asking the W.H.O. if they "can affirm that consumption of fluoridated water at 1 ppm fluoride will not cause any future harm to anyone". (Letter in our file.)

The reply, 23rd August, 1979, the Chief of Oral Health could not answer the question on "safety".

On 20 July, 1977, the Director-General of the World Health Organization, Dr. Mahler, gave a lecture to medical students at the University of NSW.

He is reported in the **Sydney Morning Herald**, 21st July, 1977 as telling the medical students that:

"Universities have produced doctors who, 'addict the public with the kind of technology that has nothing to do with health'."

Some years ago a Tasmanian Council, with our help, wrote to WHO for their evidence that fluoridation is safe.

The reply of 20 pages did not once mention the question of safety.

Fluoridation "real" facts are difficult to discover so we are forced to entertain the hollow dental fluoridation policy of - "dressing up a monkey" hoping the "dress" will fool the people.

With the Australian Governments, State and Federal, charging the Australian Population with fluoride fixed bayonets, the reaction to objections by the people has been a counter attack resulting in 'anything goes' in dental and Government literature.

The field of endorsements for fluoridation range in the extreme but perhaps the one of paramount importance is the dental lobby and Government using the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) as their prize endorsement that fluoridation is safe and effective.

Yet in an official NHMRC letter dated 25th August, 2005, the NHMRC stated:

"The NH and MRC does not have a separate formal statement about fluoridation of drinking water and neither supports nor criticises fluoridation of drinking water supplies."

Yes, we are still looking for that elusive scientific documented evidence showing fluoridation is safe for every person, from cradle to the grave.

Democracy or Health Cover-up

Is Australia an honest Democracy, governed by responsible elected people representing the Australian population?

Has any politician, your servant, even asked if you want fluoridation? How did this dangerous nonsense commence? Was it a discovery of long and proven medical research? NO! Strangely the real commencement of fluoridation mythological deception was "The Manhattan Project 1943", the building of the first Atomic Bomb, which exhausted fluoride into farms, homes, orchards, causing great damage in that area.

The great fluoridation health cover-up by the US Government is recorded in **The Australian Fluoridation News** Special Edition Vol. 33, No. 7, Nov. 1997. There were beneficiaries to this fluoride myth, firstly a cover-up by the US Government and the beginning of the aluminium smelters selling their fluoride waste. This was also document years ago in one of the early editions of **Australian Fluoridation News**, Vol. 3, No. 8, January 1966, by P.B. Exner, M.D., Seattle, Washington, U.S.A. in his article "Economic Motives Behind Fluoridation".

Alcoa advertised their fluoride throughout USA with the caption:

"Fluoridate your water with confidence, use high purity Alcoa sodium fluoride."

Later, the McKesson Company was advertising:

"McKESSON'S SODIUM FLUORIDE INSECTICIDE," with skull and cross-bones marked POISON CAUTION.

Little did they know they had become the modern sorcerers turning garbage (fluoride waste) into gold.

Many times we have stated that fluoridation is stranger than fiction, it's impossible to tie up the ends, they never meet, but continue in concert all around the world for reasons well known but not made public in a Political Correctness ploy.

Try this for size!

During December 2005 I received three most interesting and indeed intriguing letters by post addressed to me as Chairman, Anti-Fluoridation Association of Victoria. Each letter acknowledged my letter to them of 15th October relative to fluoridation.

(1) 3rd November, 2005

Lord Mayor, Brisbane
Mr. Campbell Newman

- (2) 7th November, 2005
Minister for Health
Mr. Stephen Robertson MP
Queensland Government
- (3) 25th November, 2005
Leader of the Opposition
Mr. Lawrence Springborg
Queensland Government

Each gentleman thanked me for my letter regarding fluoridation and added statements relating to their position.

IMPORTANT

At no time in the past or present have I ever sent letters to any of these gentlemen. I do not know them and have never spoken to any of these persons.

Is this an example of our problems in public debate on such an important matter as fluoridation and correspondence on fluoridation being presented under my name and our Association.

The level playing field is corrupt with bog holes and goal posts moving in directions not under our Constitutional right.

We have already stated Fluoridation is stranger than fiction. Well there is the proof.

WESTERN AUSTRALIA

The A.B.C. "examines" toxic fumes at Alcoa Plant

By G.S.R. Walker

The one-sided actions on fluoride and fluoridation never stop, a position of "wonder" when anyone questions this particular industrial fluoride poison, never scientifically proven safe in water, never registered or licenced pharmaceutically for human use.

The answers flow like extracts from Grimm's Fairy Tales, as if these were the scientific basis of fluoridation.

The A.B.C. TV production "4 Corners", 3rd October, 2005, was put to air covering one hour "research", relative to toxic emissions from Alcoa's West Australia aluminium plant.

As a long-time researcher on such problems at Alcoa's plant in Western Australia, particularly research on fluoride, its most toxic and serious pollutant, I was surprised that after one hour on this important matter, the word "fluoride" was never used.

Next day I contacted the A.B.C. Consumer Report, asking why fluoride was not mentioned, but no one of authority was available to discuss my question.

I posted copies of published data covering years of public articles in the paper, "West Australian", showing the continuous problems with fluoride emissions and the health of workers.

As no return telephone call arrived to answer my question, I wrote 17th October, 2005 directly to the Chairman of the A.B.C., Mr Russell Balding, asking:

"Why was the most toxic chemical, Fluoride, not mentioned when the "West Australian" had published

so much data over years of this problem associated with Alcoa?"

My letter was not answered.

On 23rd November, 2005, I again wrote to the A.B.C. Chairman, Mr Balding, stating there were ways of forcing a reply.

On 30th November I received a letter from the Director of Strategy and Communications (approximately 2 months later). This director stated "he was asked to respond" on behalf of the Managing Director.

The answer why fluoride emissions from Alcoa Smelter was not mentioned, he stated:

"The program did not see any particular reason to focus on fluoride."

It is of international research and documented knowledge that the most universal problem at Aluminium Smelters all over the world is FLUORIDE emissions, and its effect on workers, farms, animals, orchards, fish in rivers etc. etc. in close proximity to aluminium smelters.

And "the A.B.C. could not see any particular reason to focus (or even mention) fluoride" at the Alcoa Smelter, that is at present negotiating for permission to double the size of their plant and everything else accordingly.

The A.B.C. has abandoned its responsibility to provide informed comment to the Australian people on this issue. The new slogan for the ABC must be . . .

"Long live King Fluoride!"

THE SMOKING GUN

Health Concerns with Fluoride Chemicals revealed in World Health Organisation Documents

By Glen S.R. Walker

The basic health science by the World Health Organization is documented in their publication - 1968:

"Research into Environmental Pollution"
"Report of Five WHO Scientific Groups"

Water

"Pesticides form another class of water pollution of general interest. Pesticides are a new hazard, not only to the people who are occupationally exposed, but

also possibly to the general population."

Pesticides

The WHO in their publication, "International Agency for Research on Cancer:

"Evaluation of the Carcinogenic Risk of Chemicals to Humans", identify Pesticides and in their official classification include sodium silicofluoride, the chemical our Governments add to our drinking water

The Australian Fluoridation News - Jan-Feb 2006 - 3

supplies, as a pesticide, insecticide, fungicide, rodenticide (rat poison).

WHO declare:

"The risk of exposure to pesticides is widespread; for example in those engaged in the manufacture of pesticides as well as those who formulate and use them regularly. People who live or work in or near areas where pesticides are regularly used may be inadvertently exposed."

The chemical sodium silicofluoride, which our Governments add to our water supplies, is classified by the W.H.O. as a pesticide, insecticide, fungicide, rodenticide (rat poison)

"Evaluation of Canciogenic Risk of Chemicals to Humans",
International Agency for Research on Cancer - W.H.O.

Thus symptoms of sensitization and gastro-intestinal, respiratory, nervous and ophthalmic manifestations have been observed in people in contaminated areas."

"Among the sixteen air pollutants or air pollutant groups, SEVEN are thought to have potential chronic effects at levels actually observed."

Substances with known effects on health, acute and chronic:

Fluoride

Substances thought to have long-term effects:

Fluoride - fluorosis

Potential long-term effects in combinations:

Fluoride promotes or accelerates lung disease.

Fluoride is a member of the seven thought to have potential chronic effects.

Long Term Effects

The WHO Scientific Group then identify:

"Selected water Pollutants HAVING POTENTIAL LONG TERM EFFECTS:

Element or Substance

Fluorine (F)

Under a chapter on Chemical Contamination of the soil the WHO state:

"During the last few decades, herbicides, insecticides, fungicides, soil conditioners and fumigants have produced intentional alterations of agricultural, horticultural and silvicultural soils. The chemicals used may accidentally pollute the soil and water."

Perhaps one small statement by this WHO Scientific Group is of immense health importance. They stated:

"The long-term effects on man of pollution (pesticides - fluoride) are generally recognised as being more important than acute reactions."

That may help the unthinking fluoride lobbyists who mouth claims that fluoride is not causing people to drop dead in the streets.

The conclusion of the WHO Scientific Group refers to "Research Required" as follows:

"The study of the general problem of the body's defence against pollutants, especially at low levels (fluoridation 1 ppm F) and the related problems of adaptation should be pursued vigorously both in animal and man."

"Investigations should be extended on the absorption, distribution, metabolism, storage and excretion of environmental pollutants."

That would be of great interest to those unscientifically and foolishly promoting fluoridation as safe.

4 - The Australian Fluoridation News - Jan-Feb 2006

Fluoridation Banned in Holland

FLUORIDATION

"WHO TELLS SCURRILOUS MIS-TRUTHS"

"THE HERALD", APRIL 25th, 1977, page 6 . . . DENTISTS REPLY ON FLUORIDE" . . . The Australian Dental Association said that claims by the Anti-Fluoridation Association of Victoria were "Scurrilous mis-truths, dangerous, confusing and incorrect" . . .

The Anti-Fluoridation Association of Victoria will substantiate its statements on European countries, commencing with Holland. Our Association claims that fluoridation **IS BANNED BY LAW** in Holland! The Australian Dental Association states this claim is a . . . "scurrilous mis-truth, dangerous, confusing and incorrect . . .

COUNTRY No 1: HOLLAND FLUORIDATION IS BANNED BY LAW IN HOLLAND

Read this letter, dated 15th February 1977, from The Ministry of Public Health and Environmental Protection of the Dutch Government to the Secretary of our Association.

Ministries van Volksgezondheid en
Milieuhygiëne

COMMISSIE VOORLICHTING DRINKWATERFLUORIDERING
Leidschendam - Doktor Reijjersstraat 12 - Tel. (070) 209260 -
Telex 32362 v m nt - Telegramadres VENM

Mr Ronald C. Petersen

Melbourne G.P.O. Box 935 g 3001

AUSTRALIA

Fluoridation of public water supplies

datum 15th February 1977

Dear Mr Petersen,

In reply to your letter of 7th February 1977 I can confirm you that fluoridation has now ended in Holland. The facts mentioned in the first paragraph should be read as follows.

"By Royal Decree of August 31st 1976 the paragraph of a Royal Decree of 1960 by which fluoridation was made possible was cancelled.

The Minister Mrs. Irene Vorrink declared that from September 23rd 1976 all permissions to fluoridate were cancelled."

(Signed) H.J.M.H. Geradts.

BEWARE OF SCURRILOUS MIS-TRUTHS

The above expensive advertisement by The Anti-Fluoridation Association of Victoria was published in The Herald, Melbourne, on 6th May 1977. Fluoridation is still banned in Holland.

Subscriptions:

The Australian Fluoridation News,
Box 935, G.P.O. Melbourne. VIC. 3001.
\$25 per annum posted Australia

- The Anti-Fluoridation Association of Victoria, Box 935, G.P.O. Melbourne. 3001
- GPO Box 369, Sydney NSW 2001

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

Authorization to mechanically or electronically copy the contents of this publication is granted by the publisher to approved persons and organisations, provided acknowledgement is given to the author and publisher.