

THE AUSTRALIAN FLUORIDATION NEWS



ARTIFICIAL FLUORIDATION IS WATER POLLUTION

Box 935, G.P.O. Melbourne, Vic., 3001
Phone: (03) 9592 5088 Fax: (03) 9592 4544
www.fluoridationnews.com
www.glenwalker.net

PLEASE PASS ON WHEN READ

Vol 43
No.3

Price \$5.00
\$25 per annum posted Australia

May-June
2007

Print Post Approval
PP331.985 00013
ISSN 1445-2847

The Pedigree Line of Fluoride Spin Doctors

by Glen S.R. Walker

Spin Doctors have a Pedigree line of pseudologists and sorcerers recorded far back in the history of the world.

The earliest documented warning of Spin Doctors is found in the Bible, Chapter 7 of Matthew in which he wrote:

"Beware of false prophets which come to you in sheeps clothing, but inwardly are ravening wolves."

Spin Doctors

Spin Doctors are long on words but short on substance.

False Prophets have relatives trading under "Murphy's Law", well recognized in the fluoridation movement as:

More Fluoridation = More dentists = More dental decay.

Tasmania started fluoridation in 1953 and in 1995, 43 years later, the Government reported 2800 Tasmanian people were waiting for general tooth treatment.

On 3rd October, 1989 the *Australian Federal Government Hansard* documents the Minister of Health, Dr. Blewett as stating in Parliament:

"Australian fluoridation was taking away the livelihood of dentists."

The President of the A.D.A. in support of Dr. Blewett stated:

"Dentists more than any other profession have actually pursued a campaign designed to put themselves out of work, and were proud of it."

Although Australian politicians today like to make public utterances about the importance of "the future" they carefully and purposely refrain from any recognition of the exact past fluoridation history of Australia.

Governments through our politicians vote to mass medicate the Australian population by adding fluoride chemicals into every public drinking water supply and into every home.

It is well recorded in all State and Federal Hansard Reports that the general unanimous vote on fluoridation was a quaint belief without any supporting scientific evidence.

History shows the extreme scientific folly in such health actions that now falls on deaf ears, contrary to The Constitution of Australia, and contrary to dental and medical science, and above all else contrary to the honesty

of Governments and their representation of the people of Australia.

Reams and reams of official published statements claiming fluoridation a great success in stopping tooth decay even to this day, accepted by Parliaments, protected by Parliaments, thinking they can have hoodwinked the public when these simple parliamentary people make comments which highlight the folly of their belief in fluoridation.

Tooth Decay Epidemic with Fluoridation

With Federal Election coming soon, both major political parties are explaining the dreadful situation of dental decay throughout Australia. The Prime Minister in his New Year 2006-2007 message to the Australian people described **"The worsening tooth decay epidemic as a national tragedy,"** with a plea to parents to "get their children drinking fluoridated water."

The same Prime Minister at the last election together with the Labor Leader of the Opposition both declared a budget of \$500,000 to help the 400,000 Australian people suffering up to 5 years waiting time for attention to their dental decay.

Parliamentary votes for fluoridation were a quaint belief without any supporting scientific evidence.

Most of these people drink **only** fluoridated water! Without exception in this year 2007, most articles in the media TV, Radio, Press, show data relating to the devastation of dental health and suggesting it can be fixed by more money from the Federal Government.

The reason given by politicians, A.D.A., and all Health Departments is so simple, "We need more dentists", and not a word about the great Silver Fluoridation Bullet.

This publication, *The Australian Fluoridation News*, April 2005 gave all details of fluoridation under the heading:

"Absolute Proof of Fluoridation Failure" - Sydney fluoridated 1969 (37 years) and Rose King, Head of the Australian Dental Controls said:

"The challenge therefore is to get more dentists into the public system."

Fluoridation is similar to Global Warming, with cooperation, 24 hours each day from the press bombarding the public with statements that Global Warming is the cause of water shortage and bottled water is the cause of dental decay in Australia.

This continuous propaganda is the way in which people in important positions of trust have this agenda, together with a large wide boom to sweep accountability, truth and intelligence under already stuffed up carpets in their headquarters.

Consider the situation in a clarity of truth and simple intelligence - **The missing link to our problems!!!!**

Politicians, dentists, doctors, organizations such as the A.D.A., A.M.A., N.H.M.R.C., Government Health Departments and its minister, Universities and all associated groups seen and hidden are rushing to cover up their failed "dream-time" of non-action, an imposition of great detail on the Australian population.

Every group has heads in the sand and hiding away from the reality that is hurting the Nation and its people accordingly.

Water Shortage

No intelligent action to increase water availability, but State Governments raking in billions of dollars tax from the high number of new homes, compounded by increased immigration.

Fluoridation has failed, so the Government and health departments solution is more dentists!

Even up to today the Government experts are doing virtually nothing whilst huge problems exist and are mounting both in water supplies and tooth decay throughout Australia.

Dental Decay

The same group support artificial compulsory fluoridation and again with heads in the sand, ignored intelligent debate with science, because they said (Hansard) in parliament that fluoridation will stop tooth decay resulting in no more pain, dental decay, or oral diseases, together with the savings of parents not having to send children to a dentist for attention to decayed teeth; indeed there will not be any more decayed teeth.

Australian politicians collectively have made several scientific blunders relating to fluoridation and in 1999 in a Review of Fluoridation the N.H.M.R.C. stated:

"Further more, the presumed mechanism of action of fluoride in preventing caries was 'thought' to be systemic as a result of incorporation into the tooth enamel during its formation.

Subsequently it has been shown that the major anti-caries actions of fluoride are topical."

So the compulsory systemic water fluoridation via the home kitchen tap is declared scientifically wrong by the very people who promoted it.

This has been confirmed throughout the scientific world.

So the N.H.M.R.C. confirm this original scientific fluoridation blunder on which all Australia has been fluoridated.

The Spin Doctors leave a large scientific void such as the Tasmanian Royal Commission on "Fluoridation of Public

Water Supplies", which was the cornerstone of the fluoride pushers including all Australian Governments.

The lack of expertise and danger of parts of the Report is illustrated by its data under *Acute Toxicity p. 81*, it stated:

"... it is estimated that the maximum non-fatal dose may conceivably be as high as 100 grams."

Poison Literature sets a lethal dose of Sodium Fluoride as 1-4 grams which equal 1/2 - 2 grams fluoride!

As Nazi Goebbels said - their propaganda must be protected at all costs. During the past 50 years, acute dishonest fluoridation propaganda in Australia has persisted with outrageous lies from people in privileged positions of authority. Some of these have been documented in *The Australian Fluoridation News*, but no action taken against the originators of their false statements that mostly still remain unaltered or corrected by Governments.

The history of fluoridation is scientifically frightening.

Thousands and thousands of official pages remain as 'positive proof' of the safety and effectiveness of fluoridation but this false data is garnished with staggeringly unscientific data.

The National Health Medical Research Council confirmed their medical blunder on which all Australia has been fluoridated.

The book "*Your Children's Teeth*" Pitman Health Information has a Foreword by Professor E. Storey, Professor Child Dental Health, University of Melbourne, in which he said:

"If the rules set out here are followed your children's teeth will have a good chance of lasting the rest of their lives."

Then follows some absolutely true statements. The heading on page 41 is:

"10. If the price is right."

"Dental practice, like a lot of medical practices, is a mishmash of scientific knowledge, conventionally accepted myths, and personal opinion. Half of what a dentist tells you is probably not based on established scientific facts but on their clinical experience. Similarly, at a rough guess, about half of what a dentist does to your teeth and mouth is probably not supported by scientific research. A recent review of dental health information and misinformation, available in the United Kingdom, led the UK Health Education Council to conclude that -

It would seem that the information presented to the public at present by dental health educators is unnecessarily complicated, frequently contradictory and sometimes wrong. The seminar has served mainly to simplify the situation by reducing the number and complexity of the messages, which are:

1. Insist on fluoridation.

*The Health Education Council believed that dental health education messages of the past, which have little or no evidence to support them include: 'eat a nutritious diet to increase tooth resistance'; 'eat hard, deterrentive foods to prevent decay'; 'brush the teeth after meals to fight decay'. It is hoped that by removing these and other messages of misinformation that the public can become more aware of the real causes of dental disease - **the frequent ingestion of sugar and the presence of plaque at the gum margins."***

But then fluoridation propaganda returns:

"It is clear that fluoridation of the water supplies will have a profound influence on the dental health of the community and all citizens should call for its implementation at the earliest possible time. Fluoride tablets or drops are an alternative for intelligent and motivated parents who wish to protect their child's future dental health."

The truth about fluoridation - the above statement:

"dental health education (propaganda) messages of the past (and present) which have little or no evidence to support them".

Fluoridation was born in U.S.A. with a pedigree of old spin doctors who travelled the U.S. selling "guaranteed" snake oil to cure all dental health problems.

Fluoridation was born in U.S.A. with a pedigree of old spin doctors.

When found false it was noted - "When things are glum there's more to come", an expression that is translated at a later date to the hoax of fluoridation.

Fluoridation is based on the Australian concentric opinion that it is a truly scientific researched health matter

of effectiveness and safety.

Literature on fluoridation by so-called dental-medical experts is a prize example of perpetual scientific contradictions and misunderstanding of the cause of dental decay.

A search of 50 years dental literature reveals a non-scientific beginning of a commercial and industrial health process devoid of medical science, responsibility **and its only use gained through political behaviour of a remarkable nature and all without documented scientific evidence to cover their forced (conscripted) use of fluoridated water in every Australian home.**

Fluoridation is mass medication, human conscription, that conflicts with The Australian Constitution, *Power of Parliament*, 51.

All the Spin Fluoridation Dental Doctors in Australia cannot dismiss this most sacred entry in The Australian Constitution, BUT instead of respecting our Constitution their overall efforts are seen as attempting to override it with a despotic, totalitarian, draconian substitute in direct opposition to The Australian Constitution.

Conclusion:

You will find Spin Doctors awarded the highest Government Awards for their 'work'.

Sir James Killen's Opposition to Artificial Fluoridation

"Fluoridation is a completely different approach to a medical problem from any that we ever had before. It is unique. Never before has the State said to individuals, 'Here is something which you take not to protect the people around you from disease but because we the State consider this to be in your own good'. — Sir James Killen, 1974.

n honouring the passing of Sir James Killen on 12th January 2007, Australian Fluoridation News records some of the Parliamentary speeches by this great Australian orator, wit, rebel and strident opponent of addition of fluorides to water supplies in the Australian Capital Territory.

At his funeral at St John's Cathedral, Brisbane on 19th January 2007, he was honoured by current and past Prime Ministers from both sides of politics, governors, governors-general, senators, bishops, the armed services and the general public.

Prime Minister John Howard thanked Sir James for showing how "success and a good life can be accompanied by good grace and good humour". He also thanked him for saving the Menzies Liberal government from defeat in 1961 by winning his Queensland seat of Moreton.

Former Prime Minister Gough Whitlam paid tribute to Killen's "honesty, courtesy and courage - his willingness to see the other side - but also his zest for life".

When artificial fluoridation of Canberra's water supply was proposed by the Menzies Liberal Federal Government in 1963-64, Jim Killen strongly opposed the scheme. Hansard

Sir James Killen joined the RAAF in 1943 when 18, but did not see action. His dentist father died when he was two.

He qualified as a wool classer, was foundation president of the Queensland Young Liberals; qualified as a barrister after studying law part-time for 7 years; became a member of parliament at 29; Minister for the Navy in 1969; Minister for Defence from 1975 to 1982; vice-president of the Executive Council and Leader of the House in 1982; Knight Commander of the Order of St Michael and St George also in 1982, resigning from Parliament in 1983.

records his fierce opposition to the proposal. He proposed the appointment of a Parliamentary Select Committee to inquire into the advisability of the measure, after Mr Freeth, Minister for the Interior (his responsibilities including the Australian Capital Territory) approved the introduction of fluoride into the water supply in Canberra on 10th September 1963 based on a three-person-sub-committee recommendation.

Mr Killen stated:-

"I venture to say that whenever we find the notion that the State should be supreme in all matters we find being laid the basis of a new and viable tyranny.

"I believe, and believe passionately, that it is not the duty of the State to dose its people like cattle.

"Whenever we find the notion that the State should be supreme in all matters, we find being laid the basis of a new and viable tyranny."

"Here I hold, we put in issue the fundamental liberty of the individual, and no person should treat that as being a light matter. In the frenzy to want to control and to regulate all aspects of human affairs in a modern society it is tempting to want to install ultimate State control over every form of human activity, I should hope that the temptation would be resisted. For my part, I can think of no more subtle form of imprisonment than for a people to be persuaded to believe that their liberties are not in danger and that there is

no need to protect them.

"I submit with respect to the house that fluoridation involves a consideration as to whether the State should have the final say as to whether a particular substance is good or bad for you. For my part the concept of the State being right under all circumstances was something that I would attack again and again. I will have none of the belief that the consideration of all the great qualities and institutions of human society should be discarded as of no consequence." (Mr. Killen, House of Representative Parliamentary Debates, Australian Capital Territory Water Supply, Hansard, 16 April 1964, pp. 1139-1142.)

Comments on Sub-Committee's Report:

"That report has been described variously as being critical, exhaustive and extensive and as appraising every known facet of fluoridation. Yet that sub-committee turned in a report of only 124 words. Nobody would accuse it of being desperately long-winded. Even Moses needed 319 words to set out the Ten Commandments. But this sub-committee of the Advisory Council dealt with this great issue in five paragraphs, or in 124 words.

"Each of the paragraphs represents a proposition not supported by one skerrick of evidence. One of the paragraphs dismisses in a nonchalant way, as though it did not exist, consideration of the ethics involved in this matter. None of the paragraphs defeats or repudiates in any comprehensible way the argument put forward by Hugo Theorell, a Nobel Prize winner for bio-chemistry, who set out, among other things, that fluorine is a potent and active inhibitor of various enzyme systems. The sub-committee forgot about him. There is not a word about the brilliant work of Oxford's Berry and Trillwood. There is not a word about the monograph produced by Dr. Sutton. There is not a reference to Professor Amies. There is not a reference to the dean of the faculty of dentistry in Rome, Professor Benagiano. Am I to understand that the intellects of those people have now become so utterly desiccated that they can be ignored as though they did not exist and their argument is to be despised as though it did not exist?"

"We have this powerful, arresting, forward-looking report of 124 words, which both the present Minister for the Interior and the former Minister for the Interior have described in such a lavish way. When the chairman of the sub-committee - the rapporteur - produced its report, he said - referring to this elaborate piece of quill-driving - "I am very sorry that copies of the report are not available. Through inadvertence, they were left behind in the office."

"The rapporteur then made a speech on this matter, loaded with propaganda and not supported by evidence that would do credit to the mind and training of but a first-year law student. After he had finished his obliging speech, he turned to the chairman of the Advisory Council who accepted the report and said: "We have had enough discussion on this matter. We will hear no more". Then, in a corner somewhere was an obliging member of the Advisory Council who moved that the question be put. That motion was seconded. A lady member of the Advisory Council was cut off in her prime when she said, "There is some new evidence that I want to submit". So the question was put and carried.

The Report

"Let us look at this powerful sub-committees report. For a start, it contains only 124 words. There is a touch of Moses on Mr. Sinai about this. If it were such an impressive document, I venture to say that it would have been treated with all the sensitivity of approach in the world. How was it treated? Listen to the raconteur of this sub-committee. He said -

'I propose at the next meeting of the Council to move

a motion consequent upon this finding of the committee. I must apologise for the fact that we have not copies of this report to circulate to all members tonight. They were typed, but by inadvertence they were left in the office.'

"The Minister has a sense of humour. What would Gilbert and Sullivan do with this incredibly Gilbertian situation and with this powerful report of 124 words, to which the Minister clings like a limpet? What would they do? I say that the report would be ridiculed. It deserves to be ridiculed and it deserves to be rejected completely."

"I believe that if the responsibility for dental care is left with the individuals, that is where it should properly be left. If the philosophical concept is going to be that we should have a totalitarian society in which matter the eating of sugar, the drinking of alcohol, the driving of cars, indeed the doing of all sorts of things that wreak havoc on the human body and to human society would be resolved and settled according to the establishment of the day then, I say to those who support that concept that, on every possible occasion, I will savage it and give them no rest whatsoever. Right through from Plato to Aquinas and Burke and Mill the basic proposition of all political endeavour has been the relationship of the individual to the State, and that concept is as real today as it was 1,000 and even 2,000 years ago.

"I believe that if the responsibility for dental care is left with the individuals, that is where it should properly be left."

"I do beg of the Minister to recognise that in this matter the people of the ACT have a complete right, indeed a clear right, to be treated as respectable, intelligent individuals and that they should be given an opportunity to express their views on this matter in a free and democratic way."

Fluoridation commenced in Canberra

On 10th November 1964, fluoride was added to Canberra's water supply while the debate on fluoridation in Federal Parliament was adjourned and on the approval of the Minister for Interior, Mr Anthony, by a Press statement.

Federal Parliament Hobbled

On 18th March 65, Mr Killen moved a parliamentary motion that the citizens of Canberra have a right to say whether or not they want fluoridation of their water supply and that a referendum be held.

Mr Killen stated:

"I thought that surely by now it would be clear, even to the most mule like individual in the community, that on every occasion when this matter is raised people want to silence it. They want to silence it either by raising a smear or raising an argument which, frankly, could not be described as respectable.

"This House had before it an adjourned motion and no member could ask a question on any matter relating to the adjourned debate. In other words, the House was hobbled on the issue and what happened? The Minister for the Interior (Mr Anthony) went outside and issued a Press statement. Let me state without ambiguity that if there is any business before this House on which the House is properly entitled to hear a statement, the statement should be made in this House and not outside the House. That is my conviction and I am prepared to perish with it, politically or in any other way.

"Let me turn to this powerful Press statement issued by

the honourable gentleman, snubbing this Parliament - a statement issued outside in the paddock to the crows, and other birds and to the pussy cats which are still giggling.

"The metabolism of fluoride in the body briefly is as follows: Fluoride is absorbed from the digestive system and/or the lungs, completely and rapidly in the case of sodium fluoride, and it is absorbed incompletely and more slowly in the case of calcium fluoride and other naturally occurring compounds. From here it reaches the blood stream, and some is excreted by the kidneys and in sweat. The remainder is stored in the body, exclusively in the bony structure of the young, but increasingly in the soft tissues - particularly in the kidneys, liver and heart, as the individual ages.

An arch-priest of fluoridation McClure (USA), says that his experiments and later those of Zipkin, another arch-priest -

"... convinced us that fluoride does not accumulate in the body. It is eliminated, particularly in urinary excretion."

"Very many papers conclusively prove the contrary. For instance, Glock and others have shown that the fluoride content of the bones of persons drinking water containing less than one-half part per million increases by 20 parts per million per year. Some people store more at this low level. For instance, the bones of a 30-year old man using this water supply contained 2,800 parts per million.

"It is firmly established that fluoride accumulates in bones of the skeleton and in the teeth. This physiological fact explains the designation of fluoride is a 'cumulative poison'!"

Sir James Killen quoting Archives of Environmental Health

"Here let me quote from the 'Archives of Industrial Health', April, 1960 - 'It is firmly established that fluoride accumulates in the bones of the skeleton and in the teeth. This physiologic fact explains the older concept which leads to the designation of fluoride as a "cumulative poison". This concept is supported and confirmed by recent observations experimentally induced fluoride intoxication in experimental animals.

'A considerable body of evidence indicates that there is a time interval between the initiation of significant increase in the ingestion of fluoride by domestic animals and the onset of intoxication by fluoride. This interval has been aptly referred to as the "lag" or latent period in the toxic syndrome'."

"The amount we absorb from a given water supply depends, first, upon the nature of the fluoride compound - almost all sodium fluoride would be absorbed, but a great deal of calcium fluoride would not - and, secondly, upon the amount of the water which is drunk. The amount of water drunk varies within enormous limits. Some of the factors involved are individual habit, climate and state of health. For this reason fluoridation of a public water supply is unscientific. At a level of one part per million, one individual would receive only half a milligram, whereas another would receive ten milligrams or more. This does not take into account the unknown quantity absorbed from the atmosphere and from food. Tea and fish, for example, have a very high fluoride content.

"In any case, none knows the optimum dose of fluorine ... I believe that there is no optimum dose. Fluorides are excreted by the kidneys. It should go without saying that a person with a kidney disease might not be able to rid

himself of as much of this drug as a healthy man, and thus would accumulate more of it in his body.

"Yet Hodge (USA), another fluorine apologist, contests this and maintains that the excretion of fluorine by way of the kidneys goes on, irrespective of their state of health. His contention has been proven to be wrong again and again.

"It is incontrovertible that fluorides accumulate in the body. I believe that they exert a toxic effect upon many of the tissues. Dental fluorosis is evidenced by mottling of the teeth. When a tooth is developing, cells known as ameloblasts lay down the enamel coating of the teeth. Fluorine produces mottling by its toxic action upon the ameloblast, whereby its normal function is interfered with."

Mr Killen then asked the Minister why he had changed his mind (from opposition to supporting fluoridation), stating that he was holding the report, but pointed out that "...it was not the sort of document that could persuade a person to change his mind on any issue at all." Mr Killen pointed out that the Minister had previously refused to accede to the Advisory Council's request and had stated: "We should not have a referendum on this issue, because people can be panicked into taking a decision."

Mr Killen continued:

"I cannot and will not subscribe to this sort of State paternalism in any circumstances or under any threat. I can think of no better way for public issues to be settled than by open and public discussion. The assumption of the right to determine what is good and what is bad in every respect for the individual is a philosophy I deeply despise and I will on every possible occasion attack it, attack it and attack it again."

"The Minister then said, 'There is no evidence at all that fluoridation is harmful'. With great respect to the honourable gentleman this is not so." (Mr Killen had previously referred to inquiries by Berry and Trillwood, Dr Sutton and Professor Amies, amongst others.)

"The assumption of the right to determine what is good and what is bad in every respect for the individual is a philosophy I deeply despise and I will on every possible occasion attack it, attack it and attack it again."

"The recommendation of the ACT Advisory Council was made; I put it with respect, in a cavalier way. This powerful, 124-word document brushes to one side, almost with obscenity and certainly with indecency, the considerations of both philosophers and scientists."

The motion to hold a referendum was put, the House divided and the motion was carried. *Hansard*, pp. 117-124, 18th March 1965.

The next day, on 19th March 1965, *The Canberra Times*, in its leading front page article stated:

"The House's decision has embarrassed and perplexed the Government, gladdened the hearts of opponents of fluoridation in Canberra and angered those who thought that when fluoride went into the water supply on September 10 last year, the issue had been disposed of forever."

Government Defeat

The defeat of the Government on the floor of the House was one of the few major defeats of the Government. A member of the ACT Advisory Council, Mr R.P. Greenish, said the original decision to introduce fluoride was an act of

supreme dictatorship, typical of the disregard shown by the administration for the individual citizen.

However, despite this defeat, the Cabinet ignored the vote of Parliament and did not hold a referendum of the people of the ACT.

In adopting this extraordinarily dictatorial attitude, the Government followed the letter one of the dictates of the USA Public Health Service propounded by Dr Frank Bull, top fluoridation spokesman, U.S. Federal Government:

“If you can - I say, if you can, because five times we have not been able to do it - keep fluoridation from going to a referendum.”

Proceedings Fourth Annual Conference of State Dental Directors with The Public Health Service and The Children’s Bureau, June 6-8, 1951, Federal Security Building, Washington D.C.

In the same way, to prevent the public knowing the truth and to avoid the normal processes of law which uphold the will of the people, the Australian Government renamed fluoride toothpaste from a S5 poison to a cosmetic, to

avoid showing the “POISON” label on toothpaste tubes, and the American Government cleverly renamed the Guantanamo Bay inmates as “enemy combatants” as opposed to prisoners of war to avoid human rights conventions.

Artificial Fluoridation Halved

After the A.C.T. gained its own Parliament in 1989, their Fluoridation Committee’s recommendations included:

- (1) to reduce the fluoride in Canberra’s drinking water supplies by 50 percent,
- (2) that unfluoridated toothpaste be more readily available to the community,
- (3) and cease the practice of flavouring and colouring fluoridated toothpaste for the protection of young children.

The fluoride content of Canberra’s water supply was reduced by 50% in 1991.

Fluoride Poisoning in Killen’s Home Town

It is ironic that a local family was almost killed by excessive fluoride added to the town water supply at Dalby, 12 years after Sir James Killen, who was born in Dalby, initiated one of the few defeats of the Menzies Government on the floor of the House. Almost 4 months after the poisoning, Dalby aldermen confessed the near tragedy had been deliberately covered up.

Former Alderman L.T. Sturgess revealed that the Council employee and members of his family had been almost killed when a massive fluoride overdose accidentally got into their water supply. They had been admitted to Dalby hospital with what was later diagnosed as fluoride poisoning. Mr Sturgess alleged that the town Health Committee withheld details from the public.

No record of fluoride poisoning could be located in Council’s business papers, although Council records showed radical variations in the quantity of sodium fluoride added to the water supply, as well as major variations in the average monthly fluoride concentration in the water as shown in the Table. Council records included reference to a

TABLE 1

Date	Quantity of Sodium Fluoride used	Average Fluoride Concentration
Sep 1976	452 pounds	0.90 parts per million
Oct 1976	294 pounds	0.98 parts per million
Nov 1976	Nil	0.13 parts per million
Dec 1976	Nil	0.18 parts per million

blockage in the fluoride metering equipment in October. Table 1 shows large variations in the quantities of sodium fluoride used as well as large variations in average fluoride concentration in the water, confirming problems with the fluoride metering equipment. Maximum, minimum or daily records of fluoride content of the water were not available.

However, a copy of a report to the Director-General of Health, Department of Health, Brisbane, Queensland, from the Government Chemical Laboratory, Brisbane, has subsequently become available to *The Australian Fluoridation News*. The Report, No. E106/E132, dated 22nd October 1976, was signed by D. Mathers, laboratory Director for the State of Queensland and includes analysis for fluoride of 27 samples, collected between 4th and 20th October 1976.

Some of the analysis results are shown in Table 2. They show extremely high concentrations of fluoride of up to 560

parts per million (ppm) fluorides in the water.

The analysis of urine samples of the Council employee and his family are also shown in Table 2. The extraordinarily high fluoride concentrations of fluoride in urine of up to 18 parts per million compares with about 1 ppm fluoride in urine

TABLE 2

Date Collected	Sample	Sample Source	Fluoride (F) milligrams/litre (parts per million)
4 Oct	Water	Reservoir Inlet	0.2
8 Oct	Water	Reservoir Inlet	0.3
13 Oct	Water	Water Depot	0.2
14 Oct	Water	Supervisor’s Residence	560
15 Oct	Urine	Iris Collins	11
15 Oct	Urine	Victor Collins	18
15 Oct	Urine	Darryl Collins	5
15 Oct	Urine	Brett Collins	5.1
16 Oct	Water	Rinse water from softener (V. Collins)	550

expected from consumption of water contaminated with 1 ppm fluoride. (*Fluoride Content of Urine in Relation to Fluorine in Drinking Water* by F.J. McClure and C.A. Kinser, p.p. 370-376; In *Fluoride Drinking Water, US Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Public Health Service Publication No. 825,1962*).

An angry public meeting in January 1977 demanded an end to fluoridation of the town’s water supply.

The Dalby disaster is an example of highly the toxic nature of the chemicals used in artificial fluoridation and that artificial fluoridation plants are not safe.

References:

- The Brisbane Sunday Sun, 30th January, 1977
- Dalby Herald, 28th February, 1977
- The Australian Fluoridation News - Aqua Pura, Vol. 14, No. 2, April/May, 1977; Vol 26, No 1, Jan/Feb, 1990; Vol 27, No 2, March/April, 1991; Vol 27, No 5, Sept/Oct, 1991.

Subscriptions: The Australian Fluoridation News,

\$25 per annum posted Australia. Box 935, G.P.O. Melbourne. VIC. 3001.

- The Anti-Fluoridation Association of Victoria, Box 935, G.P.O. Melbourne 3001
- GPO Box 369, Sydney NSW 2001

The only Australian Publication by Australians for Australians on Fluoridation (since 1963)

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

Authorization to mechanically or electronically copy the contents of this publication is granted by the publisher to approved persons and organisations, provided acknowledgement is given to the author and publisher.

THE PARROT SCIENTIFIC DENTAL HYPERBOLE

By Glen S.R. Walker

What a remarkable collection of Professional and Political people we have and their day by day playing the same old scratched recording that repeats ad nauseum that all we really need to stop dental decay is the addition of fluoride chemicals in our drinking water supplies.

The ring of truth turns into a dull flat note of complete scientific, dental, medical state of flummoxed gobbledegook, even taught in Universities.

The cry of those groups from the Prime Minister down, through the general State Parliaments, and their "Health Experts", all complaining of the huge dental problems throughout Australia, including their precious fluoridation installations areas serving over 80% of the population, that require more dentists to start any kind of reversal of the increasing dental decay and improving the 5 year waiting time for public dental service.

The cry for more dentists has informed the population of Australia that compulsory artificial fluoridation has failed, but that they, the people, must carry the burden of its failure because if the truth were properly exposed, there would be a large exodus of its supporters scurrying away from identification.

Political fluoridation honesty (?) and history, officially documented in the Hansard of all Australian Governments, should again be brought before the people to illustrate the way Australians are "lead up the garden path" by the people you voted into Parliament to represent you in an Ethical Constitutional manner.

The Failure of Fluoridation and False Explanations

There must be some handsome rewards available for those "thinking up" reasons for:

(1) The absolute failure of fluoridation and

(2) the 5 year waiting time in fluoridated areas for dental service, required to relieve the distress of so many Australians who were guaranteed by their Parliaments, the ADA, AMA, NH&MRC etc, etc, that with the introduction of fluoridation, "there would be no more tooth decay"! Show some proof? A reasonable question.

Federal Parliament 3rd October, 1989 (Hansard p. 1210):

The Minister of Health, Dr. Blewett, stated:

*"The dentists are speaking entirely without self-interest, because it can **clearly** be shown that they have lost a lot of customers and clients as a result of the effect of fluoridation of water. They are speaking from a public health point of view."*

Results since that announcement,

- More new Dental Hospitals
- More dental decay
- More fluoridation plants
- More dentists since fluoridation
- Dentists in the highest income bracket
- More Government money - Federal Government to spend \$500,000 to help 400,000 people waiting up to 5 years for dental service. (Election announcement 2005)

Without exception Capital City daily papers print articles on the unacceptable state of dental health all over Australia, but none seem courageous enough to print the true reasons

for dental decay and those responsible for this health dilemma.

The regular contributions to the media by dental "experts" use some highly irregular and supercilious dental statements that are not allowed correction.

Example -

(1) "Fluoride is a nutrient"! Should read "Fluorides are poisons" but the public are misled with propaganda rather than FACT.

(2) One statement that is used extensively in Government literature:

"There are 1000 studies in more than 20 countries which have shown that water fluoridation reduces tooth decay in both children and adults. Fluoride works directly on the surface of the teeth so it is beneficial to everyone throughout life."

(Victorian Government Health Department book - "Fluoridation strengthens teeth throughout life - 2002".)

Here is fluoridation at its peak of propaganda but without any proof of scientific fact. Indeed when challenged, it was withdrawn from further print!

(3) The Australian Dental Journal, 1986 heading "Mutagenicity", the ADA Journal stated:

"The fluoride ion is an endogenous ion of the human organism . . ."

If this statement in the ADA Journal were factual, every normal human system would be **naturally** supplied with metabolically-balanced "endogenous" fluoride ions, and fluoride use in drinking water could never be justified (Guthrie, Australian Dental Journal 1986).

The Victorian Government Committee of Inquiry into Fluoridation, 1980, was awash with mistakes of an unimaginable magnitude but was presented to the Parliament by Rupert Hamer, Premier of Victoria, as "being a scholarly and erudite overview on fluoridation."

The Report stated, Chapter 6, p. 17, that:

"... even the most susceptible (plants) can tolerate up to 100 p.p.m. (parts per million) HF (Hydrogen Fluoride) from atmospheric sources". Reference, Report on Fluorides by U.S. National Academy of Sciences, Washington D.C. using the prefix, "VOSTAL J.J. et.al." (Dr. J. Vostal was Chairman of the Committee that wrote that Report).

Dr. Vostal was contacted by this author and rejected the Victorian Government Inquiry statement of 100 ppm HF as safe for "the most susceptible plants".

The U.S. Report stated "the threshold for susceptible plants is about 0.58 parts per **Billion**", which is 171,414 times less than that claimed by the Victorian Government Report.

An Australian study has shown that susceptible grape species are affected by even smaller amounts of HF at 0.22 parts per billion. (W.J. Greenhalgh et.al, Dept. of Agronomy and Horticultural Science, University of Sydney.)

There is no limit to wrong scientific data presented to the public as a commercial sales practice in their fluoridation propaganda, which frighteningly never seems to worry responsible authorities which exist to safeguard the people from such dangerous dodgy actions.

FLUORIDATION, THE FARCICAL MEDICAL CONCEPT

The main and constant fluoridation propaganda is the use of the following pseudo-scientific inference that fluoride is everywhere and as such is a sought-out medication.

All fluoridation promotion starts by informing that:

Fluoride is the 13th most abundant element in the world, but nowhere in Nature can they find Sodium Silicofluoride or Hydrofluorosilicic Acid, the fluoride chemicals added as fluoridation of your drinking water supplies!

However, to intelligent people that smacks of scientific nonsense in the extreme, and in reality is nothing more than a commercial sales stunt.

Quantities (13th in the world) of a fluoride chemical do not qualify as QUALITY OF HEALTH, otherwise they must include lead, arsenic, mercury, cadmium etc. etc. also found in Nature.

The fluoridation promoters ply their pseudo-science with unconstitutional actions by Government (the people who are meant to protect your right of choice in health). Governments have introduced Fluoridation Acts into Parliament, that make it impossible for individuals to exercise their inalienable right to reject compulsory fluoridation that removes their freedom of choice.

Perhaps the following quotation from an article in *The Journal of Canadian Dental Association* 2001 by Cohen and Locken, under the Title - "The Science and Ethics of Water Fluoridation are commendable": "Truthfulness"

"An assessment of the ethics of water fluoridation must also take into account the moral issues surrounding scientific inquiry in order for health professionals to be justified in advising or compelling others how to act. This aspect pertains to the principle of truthfulness, whereby health professionals are obligated to tell their patients the truth, for one cannot influence the way others act without first being justified in one's own beliefs."

"Truthfulness entails a proper appraisal of the benefits and risks.

Currently, the benefits of water fluoridation are exaggerated by the use of misleading measures of effect such as percent reductions. The risks are minimized by the characterisation of dental fluorosis as a "cosmetic" problem.

Yet a study of the psychosocial impact of fluorosis found that "10 to 17 year olds were able to recognize very mild and mild fluorosis and register changes in satisfaction with the colour and appearance of the teeth". The investigators also stated, "The most dramatic finding was that the strength of association of (fluorosis) score with psycho-behavioural impact was similar to that of overcrowding and overbite, both considerably key occlusal traits driving the demand for orthodontic care." In the absence of a full account of benefits and risks, communities cannot make a properly informed decision whether or not to fluoridate, and if so at what level, on the basis of their own values regarding the balance of benefits and risks."

History relating to introduction of compulsory fluoridation in Victoria illustrates some of the "logic" of

early promoters. In a letter April, not dated but about 1981 by Tom Roper, Minister of Health, he wrote:

Its Report, (Victorian Government Inquiry into Fluoridation 1980) published 1980, endorsed fluorine as an essential trace element and recommended fluoridation at 1 part per million as the 'Safest and most economical and effective way of distributing fluoride to the community.'

The distribution seems quite secondary to its ultimate use!

Fluoride is not an essential element for humans, but makes prime propaganda to the general public.

Truth, the first serious casualty of fluoridation.

It is your democratic right to be the judge of foregoing data in Australian Fluoridation News, but also your personal moral responsibility to stand firm accordingly in the interest of all concerned throughout Australia.

Fluoridation Failure Propped up by \$378 million Government Handout

The 2007 Federal Budget, announced on 8th May 2007, included an estimated \$378 million over four years to benefit 200,000 of the 650,000 people currently on public dental waiting lists in urgent need of dental treatment.

The scheme follows intense lobbying of Federal government politicians by dentists for additional Federal government funds for dental care.

"The ADA argued today that Australians are in need of significant Government assistance to deliver dental care to those in most need". (ADA, 25th September 2006)

Up to \$2,125 per person will be provided for people whose dental problems were exacerbating a chronic medical condition such as diabetes.

A breakdown of the figures of \$2,125 per head shows that approximately 50,000 people per year would be assisted by the handout, delivered via Medicare. This is only 8% of people on public dental waiting lists and illustrates a huge dental decay problem and high cost of dental treatment.

Dental Associations, the AMA, Health Departments and politicians have consistently stated that artificial fluoridation of public drinking water supplies is particularly needed to assist underprivileged community groups to reduce the need for dental care.

The stark reality is that the need for dental care, particularly for underprivileged groups, has never been greater, after 40 years of artificial fluoridation for much of the Australian population.

Dentistry in Australia is a multi-billion dollar industry, propped up by State and Federal Government taxpayers funds devoted to child dental care, dental clinics, subsidised dentists and the massive cost of failed fluoridation schemes.

Fluoridation has not only failed, but based on the well publicised need for urgent dental treatment for 650,000 people, has resulted in an increased need for dental treatment.