


# THE AUSTRALIAN FLUORIDATION NEWS



ARTIFICIAL FLUORIDATION  
IS WATER POLLUTION

[www.fluoridationnews.com](http://www.fluoridationnews.com)

[afavaust@gmail.com](mailto:afavaust@gmail.com)

G.P.O. Box 935,

Melbourne, Vic., 3001

PLEASE PASS ON WHEN READ

Vol. 29  
No. 3

Price \$2.00  
\$15 per annum posted Australia

May-June  
1993

Registered by Australia Post —  
Publication No. NBG0721

## A FLUORIDATION BATTLE IS WON THE BATTLE OF POISONING BABIES WITH FLUORIDES

### Fluoride Supplement Doses — Recommendations being reviewed.

Are there rewards for the victors?

You will not see us mentioned in Queen's Birthday or Australia Day Honours which are reserved for sports people etc. and those who religiously follow the establishment doctrine, irrespective of its honesty, purpose or benefit to the community.

You will not find us mentioned in the A.M.A. or A.D.A. Journals, simply because we have corrected their faulty fluoridation safety sales propaganda.

You will not find our names in the N.H. and M.R.C. reports on this matter for similar reasons to the above.

You will not find our name or any appreciation in the literature from Australian Health Departments, Nursing Mother's Organizations, Baby Health Centres, because to date they have been infiltrated with those protecting the false guarantees about the safety of fluorides and fluoridation, especially for babies.

You will not see notices in doctors and dentists waiting rooms confirming our work which has changed the medical thinking of those privileged people holding responsible political and health positions, who have previously ignored our scientific warnings over the past 15 years.

You will not see us mentioned in the annals of the United States Health Department, nor the literature from the pro-fluoridation World Health Organisation Headquarters.

You will not read anything in the press or the controlled media relating to our position in this change of policy.

All that is of little importance.

We never get recognition for our work which is consistent with others attempting to establish in public, truth over error.

Communities favour, without much intelligent thinking, established Government procedures, putting their trust in bureaucratic control of their lives, all of which is dogmatically supported and promoted by a controlled media.

### VICTORY IN FLUORIDATION BATTLE

Why do we claim victory?

The *Australian* published a letter 17th February, 1993 by Renate Glynn of Canberra, under the heading "Fluoride may be excessive".

One small quote:

*"Of course the water (fluoridated water) is used with infant formula, which compounds the toxic levels as acknowledged in the National Health and Medical Research Council's 1991 Report."*

On 22nd February, 1993 Dr R. Wood, Chairman of Dental Health Committee, N.H. and M.R.C. replied in the *Australian* to the above letter and in particular the quotation on baby formula foods.

He stated:

*"Issues raised by Renate Glynn's letter 17/2 are well founded. Both matters, the issue of fluoride supplement dosage and the fluoride concentration of infant formulations, are being considered*

*currently by the Dental Health Committee. Fluoride supplement dosage recommendations referred to by Renate Glynn are being reviewed and the fluoride concentration of infant formulations is being investigated.*

*Reports on both these matters are on the agenda of meetings to be held in March and April. I expect these meetings will make appropriate recommendations."*

(Signed) Dr R.G. Woods  
Chairman, Dental Health Committee  
National Health and Medical Research  
Council, Canberra.

Here we have an admission by the Chairman of the N.H. and M.R.C. that the statements by Renate Glynn are "well founded".

Dr Wood then falls into a responsible and scientific heap by stating these data will be on the agenda for their March and April meetings.

*"They have been seriously wrong  
in their years of claims that  
fluoride and fluoridation are safe."*

But the danger has been amplified by us for years, and Dr Woods' own N.H. and M.R.C. 1991 Report admits these facts.

Why should Australian babies be placed on the Australian fluoridation merry-go-round, put on the political back-burner, instead of an honest Australian Government, through its health authorities, acting immediately in the interest of parents and babies?

**Which is most important —**

- 1. The health and protection of our children, or**
- 2. The protection (the hiding) of the fluoridation racket and its promoters?**

We have published, debated and presented data on TV, radio, and the media in general, relating to the poisoning of babies, especially with infant formula foods reconstituted using fluoridated water.

We have articulated our case with proper scientific facts, and indeed used data from the Government's own N.H. and M.R.C. reports, proving our scientific case against baby formula foods made up with fluoridated water.

They now admit we have been correct in our fluoridation and fluoride data. They have been seriously wrong in their years of claims that fluoride and fluoridation is safe, and that no one will be harmed.

We have published articles on "Saving the babies" in the *Australian Fluoridation News*. It has taken years of work, miles of paper and great turmoil and torment, working against scientific (sic) government bureaucratic, dogmatic protectionism endeavouring to cover up their peculiarly adopted faith and protection of fluoridation at any costs (cost to Australian babies' health).

Sometimes a rare politician makes a statement that sums up compulsory daily drenching of babies and adults with fluoride.

Almost 30 years ago, in 1964, D.J. Killen (now Sir

James) M.H.R. Canberra, summed up the feelings of opponents to fluoridation by stating (recorded in Hansard) the following:

*"It is a sad comment on the vitality of a nation, if enlightenment can come only from compulsion (compulsory fluoridation).*

After 30 years, Jim Killen's statement is currently valid and describes so clearly the dangers of compul-

sion against honest democracy and proper behaviour of elected people, voted into Parliament to represent (not repress) the community as publicly promised in their election manifestos.

It may already be too late but future scientists will look upon today's fluoridation actions as that of irresponsible scroccer's apprentices creating something difficult to reverse.

## EDITORIAL

# WHY FLUORIDATION IS AN EASY EXERCISE

The Government is recorded in *Hansard* as saying "the Australian Aborigines must learn to look after themselves."

The President of the United States of America said "the American people must take charge of their own destiny".

The Prime Minister of Australia Paul Keating copied President Clinton's words by stating "Australians must take more responsibility for their own care", then on 15th March, after the election, he said, "Australians should be masters of our own destiny". (Channel 9, 6.50 pm).

But, and a very important but, this does not count when a population is faced with **compulsory** mass medication with fluoridated drinking water supplies, even though the people comprehensively protest accordingly.

The political do-gooders think they know what is best for you, even though they have absolutely no qualifications and experience of the dangers associated with the use of fluoride therapy.

They would have you believe that fluoridation is fool-proof but really the fact is that fluoridation is the proof of fools.

Fluoridation is like the proverbial sausage. The inside is a mystery and as an Irishman once said — "sausages are funny things, by the time you clean them and skin them there is nothing left". Such is the description of fluoridation propaganda. It depends upon the power of myths and the financial wealth of the international fluoride lobby.

"Woman's Day with Woman" 4 April 1960 printed an article "The Case For Fluoride", an interview with professor Noel Martin, Professor of Preventive Dentistry — Sydney University.

Professor Martin is quoted as saying:—

*"... fluoride — the magic white chemical that is very closely related to salt ..."*

*"Adults' teeth are improved only from having the fluoride liquid in their mouths (they can swallow it, of course, but it won't do any special good or, of course, harm.)"*

The fluoridation presentation has not changed over the past 30 years but the science of fluoride toxicity and its danger to health negates the 1960 false fluoride safety claims!

Dental fluoridation experts, especially the politicians, when faced with questions about real science of medicine and dentistry relative to fluoride toxicity immedi-

ately suffer lockjaw, a disease that causes the jaws to be rigidly closed, but only until the fluoride questioner disappears and the courageous fluoride promoter is once again at his/her elitist best.

The moral calibre of our politicians, their parties and their manipulators is reflected in the great fluoridation racket and hoax. That reflection multiplies into so many obvious cover-ups and arranged hiding of important information from the population.

Our country is in a great economical slide, into a "third world country of the Pacific", but policies from politicians and their parties are more concerned with undemocratic, unwanted, uncontrollable, uncontrolled and unsubstantiated mass medicating the population with daily fluoride drenching.

Millions of people in Australia ask the question — **WHY?**

Even with the now well documented data by their own Health Departments around Australia warning about the overdosing of babies with fluoridated water reconstituted formula foods, our elected parliamentary representatives cowardly hide this information from the people of Australia and will not issue public media warnings. Again the same question — **WHY?**

Maybe the answer can be found in examining the characters of some politicians, their parties, some of our legal fraternity, judges, solicitors, police, banks and their directors. Put them all together and the developed picture is in clear black and white.

A visiting U.S. legal professor recently devoted time in Australia examining our court procedures, and on his return he lectured his students explaining his amazement at finding one court had two judges. The students reacted with all kinds of questions before the professor had an opportunity to explain — "one judge was in the dock!"

Is it any wonder in such a climate the fluoridation racket is so easily operated.

Our wonderful pioneers founded, developed and left us a great country, a country with virtually everything and a future the envy of the world.

As stewards of this country, what are we leaving future generations? Not a very proud thought!

Under these climatic political, social and professional conditions, the forcing of compulsory mass medication with fluoridated drinking water supplies becomes arrogantly easy with more of the same kind to follow.

Ignore at your peril.

## THE STAMP OF FLUORIDATION PROPAGANDA

Dentists in Grand Rapids, U.S.A. have formed a committee to seek support from other dentists throughout the U.S. in their application to the Citizens Stamp Advisory Committee for a commemorative stamp to be issued in 1995 "**Commemorating 50 years of fluoridation**".

So far they have not asked for designs, but we are sure our U.S. friends will not be short of suggestions.

The dental spokesman organiser said "we have been under attack so long and it is taking its toll both in our public image and our self image. I look at the story of fluoride and see something we can be proud to talk about. The 50th anniversary is a wonderful opportunity to take control of our public image."

Commemorating a 50 year dental hoax is a questionable consideration for an intelligent and honest Stamp Advisory Committee. If the stamp ever came to fruition, parents could buy them for their children's collection of comics.

However, on past performances never underestimate

the morals and ethical standards of United States Government Departments when fluoridation is involved.

Just fancy the ridicule throughout the world when one realizes **after 50 years** of the greatest financial, government, dental and medical promotion, including unlimited payola, no more than 5 percent of the world population drinks artificially fluoridated water yet the 95 percent not fluoridated still have relatively good teeth. Also the U.S. itself has only 50 percent of their people daily dosed with a fluoride chemical in their drinking water after all this time and expense.

The amount of money squandered by the U.S. Government Agencies during the past 50 years would be sufficient for the ADA to buy all the U.S. post offices and print their own stamps.

A good laugh is rare these days, but such a stamp would bring great laughter, especially in Europe where fluoridation has been stopped or banned for many years in all the major countries.

*Vol. 29, no. 3, p. 2*

# THE DENTAL HOUSE OF MYTHS

The Government dental propaganda myths have influenced the 'community thinking' by aggressive fluoridation promotion on the Goebbel's theory devoid of all honesty.

From the beginning of fluoridation we were told by those trusted elected politicians, the A.D.A., A.M.A., N.H. and M.R.C., all Government Health Departments and their ministers, that fluoridation will stop tooth decay.

"Stop tooth decay" can only be interpreted as stated, so with fluoridation there will be no tooth decay, so say the trusted experts.

Australia, through the supposedly scientific and medical expert committee of the National Health and Medical Research Council (N.H. and M.R.C.) gave full endorsement for fluoridation without any scientific proof of safety or effectiveness.

Since that time in 1953, all subsequent N.H. and M.R.C. committees have parroted the 1953 shonky science of fluoridation and Australian fluoridation science has stood still since that most questionable decision.

Now in 1993, we are presented through so-called scientific committees a view that the only claim of effectiveness of fluoridation is when it is used in conjunction with natural dental hygiene.

As an example, the latest publication from the N.H. and M.R.C., National Health Strategy, "Improving Dental Health in Australia", background paper No. 9, May 1992 (just released 1993).

---

*The N.H. and M.R.C. gave full endorsement for fluoridation without any scientific proof of safety or effectiveness.*

---

In its introduction, pages 8, 9, 10 it states:

*"As a result of several factors including fluoridation, the effects of fluoridated toothpaste and the availability of dental services, there has been dramatic improvement in the dental health of Australian children over the last 20 years."*

Note the careful priority in first mentioning fluoridation as the cause of dental improvement, but now they never say **fluoridation only**, a claim that has been well watered down over the past few years, because continuous scientific research demolishes the old fluoridation myth.

Actually, they cannot separate dental services and normal oral hygiene from fluoridation without killing their sacred fluoridation cow.

There is not one skerrick of scientific evidence showing fluoride and solely fluoride stops tooth decay.

## EXCELLENT CHILDREN'S TEETH WITHOUT FLUORIDATION

Also, Brisbane and many other non-fluoridated cities have excellent children's teeth without the N.H. and M.R.C. push for acceptance that any improvement is **only caused by fluoridating a water supply**.

Brisbane children and all the non-fluoridated European cities are living proof that fluoridation is unnecessary.

Another great hoax, dental, medical and political, is the unsubstantiated emotional claim that fluoridation is a great equalizer in community groups thereby giving the great fluoridation protection against dental decay to disadvantaged children.

Everyone in a fluoridated city drinks the same water so the disadvantaged children get the same supposedly dental decay Utopia which does not work for disadvantaged children as documented by the dental authorities in their own submissions.

On page 9 of the N.H. and M.R.C. background paper they say:

*"A range of social and economic factors contributable to those socially based disparities in oral health. One major factor is the poor availability of affordable dental care for low income people." (But all the drink the same snake-oil concoction of today's medicine men.)*

Remember the humbug of the A.D.A. saying dentists are out of work because fluoridation has stopped tooth decay. Also remember the parroting of this nonsense in parliaments around Australia by health ministers and your elected members.

## SCHOOL DENTAL CLINICS

The N.H. and M.R.C. Report goes on to show that: "Low income people visit dentists much less often."

This means small holes become large decay holes unless proper attention is given mechanically to these children's teeth, all of which has no relationship to fluoridation.

Why go to a dentist if fluoridation has stopped tooth decay?

Then comes the self-destruction of fluoridation and its promulgated hoax.

On page 10, the N.H. and M.R.C. state:

*"A second major (note major) proposal in this Report is the need for an expansion of school dental services currently supplied by State Governments. However, the dental treatment needs of children in New South Wales and Victoria are substantially higher than in other States. In this light the extension of the school dental services in New South Wales and Victoria would be highly desirable."*

School Dental Clinics are the prop to hold up and hide the failed racket of fluoridation.

Sydney with the highest population in New South Wales started fluoridation 25 years ago in 1968 and Melbourne 16 years ago in 1977, and their fluoridation promises, promises, still remain unfulfilled and unprocessed as documented in the statements above.

Today's dental importance for children is not fluoridation, but School Dental Clinics and oral hygiene through education, and most seriously important is the failure of fluoridation and its huge cohort of not-so-honest promoters.

By continuing the fluoridation racket, and not having the courage or character to admit their error, it does nothing for the dental, medical, and political image throughout the world.

It seems a choice between truth, fraud, payola, and compassion for the human race, but we will get only what we can financially afford! Sorry but it's up to you and your family.

---

*Why go to a dentist if fluoridation has stopped tooth decay?*

---

And then comes the gobbledy-gook talk of the expert N.H. and M.R.C. Committee. They state on page 16 that:

*"There is growing evidence that properly applied fissure sealants are not only highly effective in preventing the commencement of dental caries, but also can arrest active caries at least for small lesions."*

But why all this mechanical application of what is nothing more than fillings to the teeth, teeth that cannot decay because the child drinks fluoridated water. We have been misinformed on this issue for 50 years!

Dr Loe, Director of the U.S. National Institute of Dental Research (NIRD) giving evidence (1985) under oath to a U.S. Committee of Inquiry into Appropriations for Agencies, said:

*"I also think that there has been an improvement in oral hygiene among the population as well as*

*Vol. 29, no. 3, p. 3*

*improvements in diet and nutrition."*

He was asked why he was now recommending the application of sealants to children's teeth, obviously when his Institute had been in the past preaching the single Utopia of fluoridation.

He stated that **fluorides do not get into the "crevices and pits" of teeth, and that "fluorides don't really get access to those pitted areas" and that "today these are the tooth surfaces most prone to develop caries".**

So the head "dental expert" of the U.S.A. and Director of the NIDR, representing dentistry, the fluoride lobby and the U.S. Government at that official inquiry, **turned whistle blower on fluoridation.**

Consider what he said: **"Fluoride has no access to the area of the tooth surfaces which are MOST PRONE TO DEVELOP CAVITIES."**

---

*School Dental Clinics are the prop to hold up and hide the failed racket of fluoridation.*

---

## CONCLUSIONS

Fluoridation is promoted as a scientific fact. They say it stops tooth decay and has put hundreds of dentists out of work.

## FACT

Fluoride and solely fluoride, has never been scientifically shown in clinical studies to stop dental decay.

Fluoride is a poison causing mottling of children's teeth. The N.H. and M.R.C. stated in their 1991 Fluoridation Report that mottling is the first sign of toxicity (poison).

All fluoridation promoters from dentists, doctors N.H. and M.R.C., Health Departments and their ministers, recommend School Dental Clinics which are usu-

ally installed before or immediately after a water supply is fluoridated.

## IS FLUORIDATION EFFECTIVE?

A rather large report in the newspaper *The West Australian* 11th January, 1993 gave great prominence to Mr Minson, dentist, Opposition Health spokesman and his health policy statement.

The paper reported:

*"Teeth cavities are described as a sleeping monster in Opposition health policy . . ."*

*"Mr Minson claims that dental disease must be given priority because it is the most common single type of disease in the community . . ."*

## DOES FLUORIDATION STOP TOOTH DECAY?

Perth, capital of West Australia as well as other cities in that State were fluoridated in 1968 — 25 years of fluoridation and a dental spokesman for the incoming Government claims dental decay in Western Australia is a **"sleeping monster"**.

The Brisbane Courier-Mail, 18th March 1993 in an extensive article on "Water" made these statements:

*"Brisbane does deserve high praise for one water decision though: it is the only major Australian city holding out against fluoridation."*

*Australia is the most fluoridated country in the world, and yet there is now solid statistical evidence that the other capital cities have exactly the same caries rates.*

*Around the world all the most sophisticated countries won't have a bar of it anymore."*

It seems more likely the sleeping monster is the official handling of the truth about fluoridation, and when it awakens see "them" run for cover, but like Dr William McBride, these hoaxes and myths eventually catch up. (*Australian Fluoridation News* — "The Rise and Fall of Australian Fluoridation Heroes", Jan/Feb., 1989.)

---

# THE FLUORIDATION CASH REGISTER

Do you realize every time you visit the toilet you automatically activate the fluoridation cash register?

Every time you use your washing machine, the fluoride cash register goes off with a bang.

Every time you wash your car the money numbers go up in the fluoride cash register.

Every time you use the garden hose, there is a tremendous rattle of bells on the fluoride cash register.

Enjoy your shower or bath, because that keeps the fluoride cash register working nice and profitably.

Just imagine the money flowing into the fluoride cash register when the fire brigade turn on those large hoses and squirt out maxi gallons (litres) per hour — that really rattles the fluoride cash register in a big but beautiful way for the fluoride commercial lobby.

Councils are seen flushing the water mains and flooding the gutters with fluoridated water — they also get a blue certificate from the fluoride cash register people for efficiency and volume.

Specially large fluoride cash registers are necessary in industry, where most fluoridated water is used and letters of appreciation filter through from the fluoride cash register.

---

*The fluoridation process has the highest financial product rating known to industry.*

---

Banks, mostly foreign, house the fluoridation cash register contents and hide its large list of parasites also living on the fluoride racket.

All that money filling the fluoride cash register comes from your pocket in the form of tax which is no

more than a plunder of your money.

The fluoridation process as a commercial project has the highest financial waste product rating known to the world. The process wastes 99.9 percent of the fluoride used in fluoridation because children for whom this racket was invented, drink less than 0.1 percent of the water purposely polluted with fluoride.

Ask your dentist, doctor but more importantly the person you voted into Parliament and local Council, if they can explain the economics of fluoridation, and how much fluoridated water each child under 12 years drinks.

Ask them how the fluoride cash registers work, and which foreign country pockets the contents.

Also ask if they know any direct or indirect advantages from polluting our drinking water supplies with poisonous fluorides.

Apart from the hoax perpetrated in the world communities, claiming it stops children's tooth decay, ask them to explain scientifically why 99.9 percent of the fluoride goes to waste, and **where does this indestructible toxic fluoride material terminate in our environment?**

Fluoridation has created huge empires where the chemical dollars flood the fluoride cash register. The petty cash is enormous and satisfies so-called respectable people in all walks of life and generates fear in the minds of people who would otherwise show courage enough to tell the truth. (Wanted: brave whistle blowers).

Wherever fluoridation or fluoride chemicals are involved, you get exactly what you can pay for, and the stakes are enormously high.

At least whilst we put up a moral fight, all the money in the fluoride cash register cannot buy the souls of good and honest people; that has been proved in world history, even though it might take a long time but never has truth been permanently destroyed.

Printed by Allans Printing Pty Ltd. 70 Mary Street, Surry Hills. (02) 212 2633

*Vol. 29, no. 3, p. 4*