

THE AUSTRALIAN FLUORIDATION NEWS

www.fluoridationnews.com

ARTIFICIAL FLUORIDATION
IS WATER POLLUTION



GPO Box 935, Melbourne, VIC 3001

afavaust@gmail.com

www.fluoridefreemurray.org (Murray River area)

PLEASE PASS ON WHEN READ

Vol 46
No. 2

\$25 per annum posted Australia
Published Quarterly

April - June
2010

Print Post Approval
PP331.985 00013
ISSN 1445-2847

The Fluoridation Train Wreck

by H C Moolenburgh MD*

Some critical comments on the 1998 University of Adelaide publication, *Review of Water Fluoridation: New Evidence in the 1990s*.

This review reminded me of the fluoridation battle we fought in The Netherlands between 1968 and 1976 when we had to plough through endless similar reports. What really struck me is that in the 40 years since nothing seems to have changed. Here again is something that presents itself as a scientific report but turns out to be nothing more than biased propaganda for fluoridation propagated by the Great Unholy Trinity: a totalitarian state, docile yes-nodding universities, with gleeful hand-rubbing commerce behind it all.

How can self-respecting professionals create such a report? Fluoridation is not primarily a dental issue but a medical one. Yet the six writers have as far as I can see no medical degree between them, unless there lurks someone with an MD *behind* the names, but I cannot believe that he or she is a practicing physician.

The writers seem to have done an extensive literature study though most notable are the gaps. Where do we see mentioned that giant in the study of fluoride's physical side-effects, Professor Susheela? Where do we see the name of Dr Waldbott, the first man who proved fluoride's detrimental side effects? Where is the mention of your own Australian dentist Dr Philip Sutton, the writer of *THE GREATEST FRAUD: Fluoridation*, published posthumously in 1996. Where is the mention of Dr Dean Burk who proved fluoridation's link to an increase in cancer mortality?

For that matter, where is the mention of the 32 independent and contemporary studies wherein it is proven that there is a general lowering of a population's IQ in fluoridated regions? Where do we see mention of the independent journal *Fluoride*, the only publication that does not parrot state policies but gives scientists from both camps the opportunity to publish their work? I can add a lot more names to this litany. It is therefore not to be wondered at that the whole report begins with a significant disclaimer:

"All representations, statements, advice or recommendations expressed or implied in this report of the Consultant are made in good faith and the Consultant shall not be responsible for any consequences or liabilities flowing therefrom."

"Curiouser and curiouser" as Alice would say!

A double lie

Feeling thus safe from all consequences (are these people children?) we must not be surprised to read on page 9:

"There is no evidence of adverse health effects attributable to fluoride in communities exposed to a combination to fluoridated water (1ppm) and contemporary discretionary sources of fluoride. The increased total fluoride exposure in recent decades has been associated with some increases in the occurrence of dental fluorosis."

Let's look at this double lie. Dental fluorosis is the first sign of fluoride poisoning and thus evidence of adverse health effects. Moreover, in the Summary on page 108, we see the meaning of the phrase 'some increases' expanded upon with the following words "The majority of studies provide evidence that the prevalence of fluorosis in optimally fluoridated areas is considerably higher than observed historically."

Even in such a report the truth will out.

Sometimes little items seem to have escaped the vigilance of the politically correct makers of this report. What to say about Table 7 on page 64, showing us that children swallow from 30% to 65% of their fluoridated toothpaste?

*Dr Hans Moolenburgh is a Dutch cancer therapist and general practitioner with extensive knowledge of the effects of fluorides, including heading a group of doctors studying the effects of fluorides on the health of the population of Holland. The studies showed that patients were adversely affected by fluorides in water. He is the author of the book *"Fluoride: The Freedom Fight"*, the story of how fluoridation was stopped in the Netherlands.

This means that on average, two to three year old children swallow 0.3 mg. of fluoride per brushing (for nearly all toothpastes are fluoridated), which means (assuming three brushings per day) an extra poisonous fluoride load of nearly 1.0 mg, on top of the 'optimal' dose of 1ppm added to fluoridated water supplies.

This is well within the range of general poisoning, especially for these vulnerable little ones. And what happens later, when these children grow up and want to have families of their own (?), for there is strong evidence that fluoride poisoning considerably lowers fertility.

In short, this report can only be used as a striking example of the fact that fluoridation as a public 'health' policy has remarkable similarities to a runaway train. The train driver has died and the dead man's switch has ceased to function. The fellow travellers cannot get out because when (and not if) the broader community realises just how catastrophic fluoridation has proven to be for their general health, careers both political and scientific will be shattered and profits would tumble. And the number of claims for damaged health?

Can you imagine that a democratic government would remove all little laws that make claims against the fluoridating authorities impossible? [Some states such as Victoria changed their Constitutions to prohibit Supreme Court actions against anyone involved in fluoridation schemes! - Ed.]

No, much better to cover your eyes and not look outside, for doing so would make you realise that the fluoridation train is wildly and inexorably rushing forward and you are tied to it as to a great and mindless monster, an automaton with its own laws and bent on destruction.

That is the really scary thing about fluoridation: all those who endorse it seem to have become more mechanical and robot-like, their reaction to the word 'fluoridation' bearing remarkable similarities to the salivating reaction of Pavlov's dogs to the ringing of their food bell.

Woe to a nation ruled by irresponsible children...

It is not a question of good or bad faith any more, or for that matter questions of profit and/or successful careers. It is a question of waking up, and that, for members of the unholy trinity, is the most difficult issue in their lives.

Why? Let me restrict myself to the writers of this report. They have stated, as mentioned at the very beginning, that THEY CANNOT BE HELD RESPONSIBLE !

This can only mean that even whilst they physically appear to be adults, they are in fact no older than five years of age. Woe to a nation ruled by irresponsible children.

It is high time that mature and responsible adults take over the government.

WA: Carnarvon & Kununurra under the 'F pump'

Dr Richard Lugg – Chairman of the Fluoridation of Public Water Supplies Advisory Committee announced in a small news item in *The Northern Guardian* during the week ending the 12th September that he is seeking public comment on a plan to fluoridate the water supply of Carnarvon. Dr Lugg landed in town to seek community views and opinions at a meeting arranged for Monday 13th September.

He was accompanied by two other gents from the 'Health' Dept. The trio have been dubbed by some locals as the 'Three Wise Men'.

The newspaper article reported that almost 91% of WA's water supplies are fluoridated. Apparently Carnarvon is the last town of a reasonable size in WA not to be fluoridated. **There was a push to poison Carnarvon's water supplies in the 1980s by the same Advisory Committee mentioned above – but the attempt failed – the fluoride/drug pushers were run out of town.**

To paraphrase a local about events in the 80s:

"They (the fluoridationists) flew into town – a group of about 50 townspeople greeted them with placards at the airport and the Shire offices. We gave each and every Councillor a little blue book and other fliers, the local paper ran articles and it was an exciting affair in the sense – this level of hype has happened rarely in our sleepy town's history. But we were all bloody deadly serious and determined to make our voices opposing fluoridation heard and I've been a die-hard anti-fluoridationist since..."

"The Shire sent the pro-fluoridationists packing, that was over 20 years ago and now they are back again – But this

time there was no meeting with the Shire, only a small advertisement in the paper – 'Fluoridation Plan for Carnarvon's Water – Dept. of Health' "

It seems those seeking to poison the water supplies have learnt a lesson from their previous attempt. This time they are by-passing Local Government. Once again, as in Queensland and NSW, fluoridationists have everything to fear from democracy, which as a result appears to have been killed off.

This push is by no means a *fait accompli* though. After the poisoning of Carnarvon's water supply, the 'Three Wise Men' are also planning to do the same to Kununurra's. The local paper in **Bunbury** has reported on plans to fluoridate Bunbury – we should expect to see that awareness levels of the fluoridation issue will be raised across that state also, as has happened in NSW and Queensland, which is perhaps one reason that these latter two state governments are in an electorally parlous state. Nobody takes the poisoning of their water supplies lightly.

Carnarvon's water has natural fluoride content

Not that "natural fluoride" has any beneficial effect on teeth whatsoever, just that it's less toxic than the unrefined, extremely toxic industrial fluoride waste used by governments for artificial fluoridation schemes. (The water has contacted rock strata containing toxic natural fluorides.) There is a question mark regarding the actual natural levels of calcium fluoride in Carnarvon's water. Apparently there have been instances of F-levels having been too high in the past and that defluoridation should have been implemented instead – local activists are now busy researching the issue and we will keep you informed of the results.

Victorian Govt. betrays Geelong citizens

by David McRae

Geelong has a long proud tradition of rejecting water fluoridation through the 1970s up to today.

In 2001 the Victorian state Labor Government raised the "fluoridate Geelong" bogey again. "Your teeth are worse than in Melbourne" it claimed. Community resistance remobilised and Barwon Association for Freedom from Fluoridation (BAFF) was formed.

BAFF ran a strong campaign of submissions to Barwon Water and government, public meetings and rallies, newspaper letter writing and expert presentations. Four public meetings opposing fluoridation attracted audiences of 100 to 400 people.

At every presentation official supporters of fluoridation were invited to take equal time and present their defence of fluoridation - in other words a balanced public debate. But the Australian Dental Association, Victorian Health Minister and Chief Health Officer mysteriously lost their confidence and refused.

In 2004 a citizen delegation debated the issue with the Chief Health Officer and his health department "experts" in the safety in his office. It was a stunning demonstration of their unscientific approach - protecting existing policy instead of rigorously protecting public health.

Prior to the 2006 State Election the government promised there would be genuine consultation, and any fluoridation decision would be "made by the community". This election promise soon proved to be nothing but "spin".

Increasingly strident promotion of fluoridation in 2007 and 2008 culminated in:-

- (1) a Health Department (Dept of Human Services) propaganda booklet delivered to households
- (2) an order to Barwon Water to fluoridate
- (3) fluoridation started in June 2009.

Ironically the fluorosilicic acid chemical is sourced from the waste tanks of the Incitec Pivot fertiliser plant right in North Shore Geelong. It cannot be discharged into our air or the bay, but apparently our kidneys are suitable for the toxic waste!

The Government refused to do any prior testing of citizens' tissue levels of fluoride, or of the existing intake from other sources. The area has an aluminium smelter, oil refinery and phosphate works, all very high emitters of gaseous fluoride wastes. Health authorities are incompetently "flying blind" and failing to look for the likely problems.

Street stalls that BAFF set up showed there is a high level of resentment about fluoridation in the Geelong region. One candidate is standing for "Stop Fluoridation" in South Barwon at the November 2010 election. There may be more candidates (see www.baff.org.au) and perhaps their votes will be enough to influence the outcome of the election.

David McRae is vice-president of BAFF.

The Anti-Fluoridation Association of Victoria changed its name at the 2010 AGM to **The Australian Anti-Fluoridation Association**, which better represents our national membership and our aim to educate and inform **all** Australians on fluoridation and its effects.

'Drink well' and still get Fluorosis

Dental Health Services Victoria has put out a new series of Fact Sheets for Parents. They include sheets entitled **Eat Well** and **Drink Well**.

The latter has a number of surprising statements such as:

"Dental fluorosis appears as a mottling of the teeth and results from excessive fluoride while teeth are developing (up to approx 6-8 years of age). Fluorosis does not affect the function of teeth."

[Dental fluorosis is the first visible symptom of chronic whole body fluoride poisoning. - Ed]

Most surprising are the following statements on fluoridation:

*"The only potential side effect is dental fluorosis, but this can be prevented by the correct use of fluoridated toothpaste and by not using fluoride tablets unless recommended by your dental professional. Importantly, **water fluoridation is the least likely source of fluoride to contribute to fluorosis.**"* [Emphasis added]

The need for governments to blame the manufacturers of discretionary sources of fluoride for the poisoning of the population and the resultant possible lawsuits is perfectly understandable.

After all, no politician wants to be held responsible for what they have inflicted on voters and those too young to vote.

This ploy is not new, as seen in the performance of the pro-fluoride spruikster addressing the audience at an event organised by the Murray Shire late last year. She clearly stated that fluorosis could only be caused by fluoride tablets.

Seeing that fluoride tablets are no longer available, toothpaste and mouthwash manufacturers now appear to be in the firing line when fluoride damage suits reach the courts.

Fourth Annual Dental Conference (1951)

In May 2010, The Australian Fluoridation News website released the original minutes of the infamous 1951 dental conference. For many years these minutes were thought to be "missing" but in May it was a great surprise to receive a copy. We uploaded the minutes and they were downloaded over 2000 times that month by interested parties all around the world. Those interested can obtain a copy from the Australian Fluoridation News website www.fluoridationnews.com.

At 59 pages, this document is a hefty read. Dr Francis Bull's talk to dentists on how to promote fluoridation is the most interesting. He made some remarkable statements unaware the stenographer was taking down everything he said.

A two page overview of Dr Bull's advice (and on fluoridation generally) is also available on our website. Written by the London Anti-Fluoridation Campaign's Clavell Blount in the late 1960s, it's well worth reading and distributing.

Subscriptions: *The Australian Fluoridation News*

\$25 per annum posted Australia. GPO Box 935 Melbourne VIC 3001

- Australian Anti-Fluoridation Association GPO Box 935 Melbourne 3001
- GPO Box 369 Sydney NSW 2001

The only Australian publication by Australians for Australians on Fluoridation

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

Authorisation to mechanically or electronically copy the contents of this publication is granted by the publisher to approved persons and organisations, provided acknowledgement is given to the author and publisher.

The Aussie Sunday Argus

Fluoride Switched Off: An optimist's prediction*

\$A7.50

Sun 26th Jan 2020

With 2020 Hindsight, We Were Mistaken on Fluoridation

By Fido Rule, Political Reporter

THE final fluoridation plants have been switched off in Australia, ending a practice dating back to the 1950s. Water authorities in the final three states confirmed yesterday they had turned off the controversial additive by the expiry date of 26 January 2020.

No Australian regulatory body or health authority had been able to show proof of fluoridation's safety or effectiveness, so the Prime Minister last June set Australia Day 2020 as fluoridation's end date. Yesterday the PM said "it's extra special to celebrate Australia Day this year. I congratulate the Australian people on regaining their freedom of choice in what's been an unfortunate situation for so many years."

Community sentiment turned against fluoridation particularly in the last decade as more people discovered the details of fluoride's toxic effects, which increase as it accumulates in the body. Australians also became aware of Australian governments' and professional health institutions' involvement in endorsing the toxic industrial pollutants added to the population's water supplies without any credible evidence of its safety.

Activist David White of the Freedom from Fluoride Federation of Australia (FFFA) said "the real heroes in this victory are the people of Australia, who no longer accept the mistruths and dogma, and have demanded their freedom to choose water without toxic medication in it, like most of the rest of the world has." White further praised the community. "This is momentous. We're developing our capacity to deal responsibly with our own industrial waste." He also warned that "some industries would probably try to find ways to get paid for disposing of their waste like before. It's crucial to monitor that fluoride wastes are being disposed of properly, and that the fluoridation plants are completely dismantled."

White says the 'switch-off' should be the end of state-enforced mass-medication schemes. "They're totally discredited. Now we can choose which medicines we allow into our bodies. The increased incidence of diseases such as cancer, kidney disease, arthritis and osteoporosis should reverse, provided ingestion of toxic fluorides from other sources, such as fluoride toothpaste and fluoride-contaminated air from industrial waste gases is reduced."

A *spokesperson* for the Victorian Health Minister said it was "a great positive step forward" and expected "an improvement in dental health with the new health campaign without fluoridation announced last month. It's really exciting!"

Meanwhile a *spokesperson* for the Australian Dental Association (ADA) stated that "there are more effective ways of preventing decay these days such as better diets" but was sad the fluoride days had ended. "We were looked up to as dental authorities."

In recent years, anger of the public has not made it easy for dentists. Their dental association had for decades endorsed toxic industrial waste as an unproven and unlicensed medicine. But due to the long-lasting effects of fluoride, dentists still have plenty of work, although the annual review of graduate salaries last year showed dentists had slipped from third spot to fifth, as citizens were less keen to pay such high prices.

A dentist who declined to be named said it was "disgusting" that his association had "promoted a toxic chemical for so long." He was also disappointed that "the same fluoride pollution money had infiltrated university training, in what was taught about fluoride. Many of us actually believed 'safe and effective' and never questioned it. Fluoride is just spin, politics and commerce."

The unnamed dentist was heartened by how many of his colleagues had responded. "More dentists these days treat patients holistically,

without mercury fillings, fluoride fillings or in fact any fluoride at all. We're now working with other health practitioners for the best outcome of our patients."

Fluoridation has been shown to be ineffective in the outlandish claims of preventing tooth decay and instead causes dental fluorosis - white mottling of teeth. Fluoridation almost single-handedly created the booming cosmetic dental industry that tries to hide fluorosis. An industry insider admitted "fluoridation is a licence to print money."

A *spokesperson* for a promoter for the confectionery, sugar and fluoride industries, said that while they could no longer state that fluoridation was "safe and effective", it was "obvious it was". When questioned for specific scientific evidence, he repeated his opinion eight more times, then declined to comment further.

Most of the world has become aware of the dangers and stopped fluoridation, or never started. Most European countries removed it in the 1970s such as The Netherlands. The United States is the last country to still have significant fluoridation. With decades of PR spin, even today some people still believe fluoridated toothpaste is a good thing. But many US communities are alerting their citizens to the dangers.

White of the FFFA says "We're all in this together. Until everyone knows fluoride is toxic and cumulative, and that what we all want is safe, clean water and the fluoride chemicals removed worldwide, we'll keep campaigning for awareness and action."

White said the fluoride pollution already in the environment would take decades to "flush through." But today, he said with a wide grin, he was going to a "fluoride switched off" party -- "I've been waiting for THIS party since before I was born!"

NB: The spokespersons "quoted" don't exist & there is no intention to imply that the bodies named are likely to make such statements.

** A possible news headline when enough Australians are aware & stand as individuals and communities for our Freedom of Choice.*